

#### PRELIMINARY **ESCHERICHIA** COLI, YERSINIA DATA ON ENTEROCOLITICA AND **OTHER BACTERIAL SPECIES** THE IN **INTESTINAL MICROBIOTA OF WILD RODENTS FROM RILA MOUNTAIN**

Yana Ilieva<sup>1</sup>, Maya M. Zaharieva<sup>1</sup>, Lyudmila Dimitrova<sup>1</sup>, Mila Kaleva<sup>1</sup>, Mihaela Beltcheva<sup>2</sup>, Iliana Aleksieva<sup>2</sup>, Hristo Najdenski<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1-</sup>Department of Infectious Microbiology, The Stephan Angeloff Institute of Microbiology, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 26, Acad. Georgi Bontchev str., 1113, Sofia, Bulgaria;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecosystem Research, Environmental Risk Assessment and Conservation Biology, Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2 Gagarin str., 1113, Sofia, Bulgaria

# Introduction

- Wild rodents are carriers of foodborne pathogenic bacteria like *Escherichia coli* and Salmonella spp.
- Rodents could contribute to the spread of antimicrobial (antibiotic) resistance (AMR) if their intestinal bacteria carry genes for AMR and are able to exchange them with other bacteria through horizontal gene transfer.
- Small mammals like rodents have a significant biological reaction to the humancaused environmental changes which could influence their gut microbiota.

All of this determines the importance of the research of the intestinal microflora of wild small rodents.



# **Materials and Methods**

### **Animal collections**



7 yellow-necked mice

Area of Beli iskar vilage

- summer of 2019
- Rila Mountain (locality Skakavtsite, 1500 m a.s.l.)
- live-bait and snap traps
- All collected animals were dissected to remove the intestinal tract and fecal matter was taken from the rectum.
- $2 \sigma$  forest voles (*Clethrionomys glareolus*) (*Apodemus flavicollis*)





 $(2 \, \text{and} \, 5 \, \text{d})$ 

omnivorous



#### Small mammals like rodents were also selected due to:

large population number

Results

wide distribution area



- 52 pure cultures were isolated from single bacterial colonies.
- They were identified morphologically or biochemically as *E.coli*, Yersinia enterocolitica, Y. kristensenii, Hafnia alvei, Serratia marcescens, Serratia liquefaciens, Pantoea agglomerans, Klebsiella pneumoniae ssp. ozaenae, Enterobacter cloacea, Bacillus thuringiensis Enterococcus faecium and E.faecalis.



Isolates identified as Y. *enterocolitica* by BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> (isolates 13, 14 and 16)

antimicrobial susceptibility and The resistance of 16 bacterial species was

An example of Antimicrobial susceptibility of an isolate (Y. *enterocolitica*. sample13)

herbivorous

Classic microbiological methods - the method of serial dilutions, bacterial culturing on differential media, Gram stain, microscopic slide examination by light microscopy, etc.



**Identification of bacteria** Biochemical: BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Automated Identification and Susceptibility Testing – used also for antimicrobial resistance elucidation



elucidated by BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup>

strains most resistant The to antibiotics turned out to be E. faecalis, *Y. enterocolitica* and *H. alvei* 

7 of the colonies were confirmed as *E.coli* and 3 of them were confirmed as Y. *enterocolitica* by PCR.



Antimicrobial	MIC	
(antibiotic)	(µg/mL)	
Amikacin	<=4	S
Amoxicillin-	>8/2	R
Clavulanate (f)		
Ampicillin	>8	R
Aztreonam	<=1	S
Cefazolin	>4	R
Cefotaxime	<=0.5	S
Ceftazidime	1	S
Cefuroxime	8	
Cephalexin	16	R
Ciprofloxacin	<=0.125	S
Colistin	<=1	Χ
Fosfomycin	32	S
w/G6P		
Gentamicin	2	S
Meropenem	<=1	S
Nitrofurantoin	32	
Piperacillin-	<=4/4	S
Tazobactam		
Tobramycin	<=1	S
Trimethoprim	<=1	S

PCR confirmation of 7 strains of *E. coli* (a) and 3 strains of *Y. enterocolitica* (b)

Trimethoprim-	<=1/19	S
Sulfamethoxazole		

MIC – Minimal inhibitory concentration R – Resistant S – Susceptible

X – Cannot give an interpretation

# **Identification of bacteria** Molecular-biology methods: conventional PCR, digital droplet PCR

The *ail* pathogenicity and virulence gene was not found in the yersinias using traditional and ddPCR.

## Conclusions

52 bacterial species were isolated from single colonies from the gut micloflora of small wild rodents in Bulgaria. Opportunistic pathogens were identified: 7 and 3 of them were confirmed as E. coli and Y.enterocolitica, resp. by PCR. This study is a platform for future biomonitoring, ecological and ecotoxicological research and for studying the spread of (non-)pathogenic bacteria and zoonoses among wild rodents and the fauna in general in Bulgaria.

Acknowledgments: The study was supported by the National Program "Young Scientists and Postdoctoral candidates" of Ministry of Education and Science