

#### Strategic risk policy for agriculture and the food supply chain

#### Ivan Savov European Risk Policy Institute

fppt.com



## Global climate risks

- Extreme precipitation
- Floods
- Cold waves
- Heat waves
- Draughts, water shortages
- Thunderstorms, windstorms, hailstorms
- New/old pathogens
- Crops adaptation to northward relocation
- Soil adaptation
  - Insurance



#### The Climate Crisis Threatens the World's Food Supply

- The current food system production, transport, packaging, storage, retail, consumption, loss and waste
- Currently, 821 million people are undernourished, 151 million children under five are stunted, 613 million women and girls suffer from iron deficiency, and 2 billion adults are overweight or obese. The food system is under pressure from non-climate stressors and from climate change. These stresses are impacting the four pillars of food security – availability, access, utilization, and stability
- Observed climate change is already affecting food security through increasing temperature, change in precipitation patterns, and greater frequency of extreme events.



# Climate crisis impact

- Food security will be increasingly affected by projected future climate change
- Vulnerability of pastoral systems to climate change is very high
- Fruit and vegetable production is also vulnerable
- Food security and climate change have strong gender and equity dimensions
- Practices are not optimized and scaled up to advance adaptation throughout the food system
- Close to 40% of GHG are attributable to the food system
- Supply-side practices can contribute to mitigation
  - Reduction of food loss and waste could lower GHG and improve food security

#### For adaptation and mitigation throughout the food system – enabling conditions need to be created through policies and governance!





## Risk thinking and approaches must adjust to be effective in today's world

- World has changed and is changing as we speak in ways never seen before
- Why have leaders failed to see preventable crises and make informed decisions?
- Complexity is increasing Strategic Risk Policy<sup>®</sup> promotes clarity and simplicity
- Leaders want to be informed so decision-making can be pre-emptive and effective
- Potential strategic risks ('vulnerabilities') are found today in network systems
  - Adjustment about 'risk' thinking is required to provide awareness of potentiality



#### Digital transformation has been interrupted!

- Now interconnected/interdependent like never before = 'meta grids'
- World today must be viewed as whole systems systems within systems
- Some challenges today have never been seen before
- Some consequences today are unimaginable
- We have to learn how to cope with complexity and time cannot be changed
- Risk domino exists digital, COVID, supply chains, cyber attacks, societal risk

Research reveals that today, information resides in networks



### Global 'Gap Analysis'

- As risk management continues to fail, a group of industry leaders conducted a gap
- analysis covering leadership⇔decision-making ⇔risk:
  - WEF, IMF, OECD and more had called for new thinking about risk
  - No risk awareness 9/11, GFC, COVID, cyber, supply chains, energy resilience
  - Risk register data are mechanical, linear and assume all risks behave rationally
  - COVID-19 = 'Every national risk management plan is a temporary illusion'
- Answer: Risk 'Policy' is missing to inform leaders about issues and in time
   Risk Policy introduces a new approach by identifying potential strategic risks



#### Adjusting risk thinking and approaches

- Risks must be viewed at the point of 'potentiality' as potential/possible strategic risks
- Executive action is then facilitated to 'protect against' vulnerabilities
- Protecting against vulnerabilities is the smart new approach to risk
- Results in fewer and less severe risks and wicked problems a new risk paradigm
- Acts earlier in time, higher in focus, involves protection against, not risk management
- Results in avoided costs, savings, opportunities, innovation and reputation



#### Risk 'law of adjustment' in practice

- Risk becomes a trilogy comprising
   'Policy⇔Management⇔Governance'
- Risk architecture is now 'Risk Policy Risk Management Issues Management'
- Leadership paradigm change produces the change = 'Drivers and Connectors'
- Replace organisation-centric (silo) thinking with networkcentric thinking
- Access available network information through protocols
   Boards/executives gain situational awareness for strategic decision-making



#### New Risk Trilogy - basic architecture



tppt.com



#### Key pillars of Strategic Risk Policy®

- 1. Contemporary meaning of risk impacts, implications, implementation analysis
- 2. Strategic Risk Policy<sup>®</sup> model and implementation strategy
- 3. Expanded contexts and perspectives of vulnerability for anticipation
- 4. New Risk Landscape dashboard, strategic, integrated, appetite, forensic
- 5. Transition Code when vulnerability may become a risk e.g., time vector
- 6. Operating above and before, it informs and authorises risk management
- 7. Promotes critical enhancements to risk management processes





#### Defined transition from vulnerability to risk

- Noting the use of different risk language, ARPI has developed an objective, measurable and auditable transition code for the journey when necessary, from 'vulnerability to risk.' For example, when a time vector arises in order to protect against a vulnerability and threat/ threat actor occur
- Code provides clarity, certainty and consistency in application
- 'Risk is a consequence of the conjunction of Vulnerability x Threat x Threat Actor'

*Risk* = *Vulnerability* ^ *Threat* ^ *Threat* Actor

#### New Leadership Paradigm for Better Decision-Making: ARPI Risk Landscape ©

IIME		
<b>Strategic Risk Policy®</b> (Potentiality) - No Risk Exists	<b>Risk Management</b> (Probability) - Risk Exists	<b>Issues Management</b> (Happening) - Risk Materialised
Probability 0%	Probability 1-99%	Probability 100%
<ul> <li>Vulnerability</li> <li>Strategic: Explore potential risk scenarios</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk Identified</li> <li>Operational: Risks priority managed</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Risk Materialised</li><li>Immediate organizational reaction/crisis</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Leadership Action</li> <li>Pre-emptive Executive consideration</li> <li>Protection against vulnerability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Leadership Action</li> <li>Pro-Active Risk Management</li> <li>Impacts, Implications, Implementation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Leadership Action</li> <li>Reactive Issues or Crisis Management</li> <li>Demands and diverts resources and time</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Paradigm change</li> <li>Reactive Process to Proactive Policy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Enhancement of risk management</li> <li>Policy direction, Systemic Risk, new Equation</li> </ul>	Risk KPIs - measurement e.g. Left field <10% • Risk Governance - Causal – Gap Analysis
<ul> <li>Perspective</li> <li>Paradigm change: new world–new challenges</li> <li>Approach vulnerability of 'whole systems'</li> <li>Information from interconnected networks</li> <li>Map networks and identify vulnerabilities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Perspective</li> <li>Fewer and less severe risks possible</li> <li>Better management of today's risks</li> <li>Means to identify/prevent wicked problems</li> <li>Greater organisational synergy/connectivity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Perspective</li> <li>Reputation and relationship management</li> <li>Strategic opportunity – prevent recurrence</li> <li>Impact on organisational priorities</li> <li>Budget impacts - Avoided Costs</li> </ul>
If a vulnerability can be completely protected, no risk will arise > strategic opportunity <b>Part protection against only</b> If vulnerability cannot be fully protected against a risk may arise. Risk = Vulnerability x Threat X Threat Actor. (Risk is the consequence of conjunction of vulnerability, threat, threat actor)	<ul> <li>Fundamental new Differentiation of Risk Type</li> <li>1) Systemic Risk         <ul> <li>Plural (legal/organisational) ownership</li> <li>Jointly manage - formally and collaboratively</li> <li>Single integrated processes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Systemic Risk not effectively managed =</li> <li>Wicked Problem</li> <li>Then, is it soluble or requires reconstruction?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Implementation Process</li> <li>Leadership driven paradigm change</li> <li>View environment as 'whole systems'</li> <li>Interconnected world – 'information networks'</li> <li>Relationship change - silo to horizontal</li> <li>Map whole system networks</li> <li>Obtain the 'right' information &lt; protocols</li> <li>Identify vulnerabilities – see opportunities</li> <li>Protect against vulnerabilities</li> <li>Save resources and time</li> <li>Improve performance and governance</li> <li>Build reputation, resilience, sustainability</li> <li>Reduce complexity to simplicity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2) Traditional Single Risk         <ul> <li>Manage in accordance with ISO 31,000</li> </ul> </li> <li>Revised Risk Equation         <ul> <li>Consequence must now dominate the equation because in some situations today, consequences are unthinkable</li> <li>Strategic disruption can be invaluable for improvement and/or innovation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Single risk not managed</li> <li>Live Issue/Crisis</li> <li>Issues/Crisis Management</li> <li>Causal Loop Mapping</li> <li>Correction/prevention of recurrence</li> <li>See Risk Policy Model: www.arpi.org.au</li> </ul>



#### Two views of Strategic Risk Policy<sup>®</sup>









#### Enhancements - risk management processes

- ARPI has advised ISO responsible for ISO 31000 of two critical enhancements:
- Systemic Risks are introduced as precursors to 'Wicked Problems' they require different treatment – formal collaboration among plural or multiple legal owners – not merely liaison;
- 2. Risk management assessment equation of 'Likelihood x Consequence' must no longer be used as such! As some risks have extreme consequences but 'negligible' likelihood – combined with historical influences on discount effects of events considered to have negligible likelihood – equation must be immediately adjusted. 'Every entity must adopt a risk policy as to when consequence will dominate the equation'.



## + Benefits include

- Thought leadership on risk thinking, approaches and frames
- Optimised performance and governance for informed decision-making
- Know strategic vulnerabilities and opportunities for pre-emptive action
- Board/Executives have 'evidence of all reasonable attempts to find out'
- Strategic agenda item = anticipation and awareness rather than reaction
- Enhanced client risk assessment of infrastructure projects
- BL better quality information 'in' better quality 'output' and 'outcomes'



## Adaptation Preparedness Scoreboard BULGARIA

- Institutional structure
- Quality of national vulnerability assessments
- • Knowledge creation (national observation systems in relevant sectors<sub>2</sub> and climate
- modelling), transfer and use
- • Action plans:
  - Quality (incl. the basis used for assessment of adaptation options)
  - Actual implementation mechanisms
- Funding mechanisms
- Mainstreaming into sectoral policies, in particular:
  - Disaster risk reduction
  - Spatial planning
    - Environmental impact assessment (EIA) (how the Directive is transposed) Insurance policy
    - Transboundary cooperation
      - Monitoring mechanisms in different sectors and governance levels



## Global climate risks

- Extreme precipitation
- Floods
- Cold waves
- Heat waves
- Draughts, water shortages
- Thunderstorms, windstorms, hailstorms
- New/old pathogens
- Crops adaptation to northward relocation
- Soil adaptation
  - Insurance

## COP26 GLASGOQ



European Risk Policy Institute

- 1. Secure global net zero by mid-century and keep 1.5 degrees within reach
- Countries are being asked to come forward with ambitious 2030 emissions reductions targets that align with reaching net zero by the middle of the century.
- To deliver on these stretching targets, countries will need to:
- accelerate the phase-out of coal
- curtail deforestation
- speed up the switch to electric vehicles
- encourage investment in renewables.
- 2. Adapt to protect communities and natural habitats
- The climate is already changing, and it will continue to change even as we reduce emissions, with devastating effects.
- At COP26 we need to work together to enable and encourage countries affected by climate change to:
- protect and restore ecosystems
- build defences, warning systems and resilient infrastructure and agriculture to avoid loss of homes, livelihoods and even lives
- 3. Mobilise finance
- To deliver on our first two goals, developed countries must make good on their promise to mobilise at least \$100bn in climate finance per year by 2020.
- International financial institutions must play their part and we need work towards unleashing the trillions in private and public sector finance required to secure global net zero.
- 4. Work together to deliver
  - We can only rise to the challenges of the climate crisis by working together.
  - At COP26 we must:

finalise the Paris Rulebook (the detailed rules that make the Paris Agreement operational)

accelerate action to tackle the climate crisis through collaboration between governments, businesses and civil

society.



# Climate crisis: Temperature changes could halt titute whisky production in Scotland by 2080, report

Warns
 Shortage of water, shortage of crops, temperature and humidity change will limit production, raise price, enforce change of production processes and change the taste of whisky.







# БЛАГОДАРЯ!



#### **EUROPEAN RISK POLICY INSTITUTE**

#### GLOBAL RISK POLICY NETWORK CANBERRA - SOFIA – LONDON – BRUSSELS

www.risk-policy.eu