

Winter wheat and triticale exert differential cross-adaptation towards drought and flooding after pretreatment with a selective herbicide

Irina I. Vaseva, Ana Blagoeva, <u>Margarita Petrakova</u>, Dessislava Todorova

Institute of Plant Physiology and Genetics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

PHYSIOLOGY AND GENETICS

Abstract: The widely used agrochemicals that do not exert negative effects on crops and target selectively weeds could influence plant resilience towards unfavorable conditions. The cross-adaptation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and triticale (× *Triticosecale* Wittm.) exposed to drought and flooding was evaluated after treatment with a selective herbicide (Serrate[®], Syngenta). Biometrical measurements and histochemical staining were employed for the comparative analyses of stressed and recovered plants. The ambivalent effects of the herbicide on the two studied crops were particularly distinct in waterlogged plants with a significant reduction of wheat growth and a better performance of triticale individuals exposed to the same combined treatment. The comparative transcript profiling of ROS scavenging enzymes (superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, glutathione reductase, and catalase) of stressed and recovered plants revealed crop-specific variations resulting from the unfavorable water regimes in combination with herbicide treatment. The shortterm dehydration was relatively well tolerated by the hybrid and this aligned with the considerable upregulation of the genes for L- Proline biosynthesis. Its drought resilience was diminished by herbicide application as evidenced by increased ROS accumulation after prolonged water deprivation. The obtained data suggest that commonly used weedmanaging products could be beneficial for plant resilience under certain conditions but their use may also have negative consequences depending on the crop and stress type.





groups grown under the same conditions with or without herbicide treatment (p < 0.05)

(triticale) have been detected after recovery.

>Wheat and triticale plants that received the optimal conditions showed statistically significant increase of dry weight (DW). This phenomenon has been previously documented in other crops. >Overall, the measured growth parameters of triticale plants (FW, DW and shoot length) demonstrate its relatively better performance at unfavorable water regimes (both excessive or limited water availability). > The application of the selective herbicide diminish the ability of drought-stressed triticale to recover its elongation growth upon recovery but does not show an additional negative effect on the flooded individuals. >Flooded wheat plants that received herbicide dose (HF) accumulated less dry matter compared to the F



CONCLUSIONS

Commonly applied weed-managing products could be beneficial for improved plant performance under certain unfavorable conditions but their use may have also negative consequences that depend on the crop and stress type. We identified some elements from the antioxidant defense system that might be related to the divergent capacity of winter wheat and the hybrid crop triticale to tolerate adverse water supply after the application of a conventional dose of a selective herbicide. Flooded triticale showed considerably higher expression of catalase and peroxidase coding genes compared to wheat which correlates to its better performance under excessive water regime. The hybrid crop had more stable transcript levels of glutathione reductase gene which could also contribute to its better capacity to detoxify ROS through glutathione defense system. We conclude that the administration of selective herbicides on winter wheat fields that are prone to flooding should be considered after carefully evaluating the risks and benefits as the herbicide treatment could enhance the negative consequences of excessive water stress. In contrast, the hybrid crop triticale tolerates the combination of herbicide application with subsequent flooding events but its drought resilience seemed to be negatively influenced by the treatment with the tested agrochemical product.

Acknowledgement: This research was funded by THE BULGARIAN NATIONAL SCIENCE FUND, grant number KP-06-H36/3-30.09.2020.