Literature reviews on ecotoxicology of chemicals with a special focus on plant protection products

Lot 6: Available protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than crustacea

Report for EFSA by Cambridge Environmental Assessments – ADAS

01 September 2009

Report Number CEA.468

FINAL REPORT

Authors: Seamus Taylor & Naomi Blake

Cambridge Environmental Assessments - ADAS Battlegate Road Boxworth Cambridge CB3 8NN United Kingdom

Email: enquiries@cea-res.co.uk



SIGNATURE PAGE

This report was produced and managed by the following individuals:

Seamus Taylor

Signature

SIGNED

01 September 2009

Date

Dr. Naomi Blake

SIGNED

01 September 2009

Signature

Date

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Contents

SIGNATURE PAGE1			
CONTENTS			
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY4			
1	INTRODUCTION	7	
1.1 1.2	BACKGROUND INFORMATION OBJECTIVE	7 7	
2	METHODS	7	
2.1 2.2 2.3	LITERATURE SEARCH METHODOLOGY INITIAL SELECTION CRITERIA SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTIONNAIRE	7 9 9	
3	RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE OPINION OF THE PPR PANEL RELATED TO REVISIONS OF ANNEX II AND III (91/414/EEC) AND OF THE EXISTING AQUATIC ECOTOXICOLOGY GUIDANCE DOCUMENT	10	
3.1 3.2	OPINION OF THE PPR PANEL RELATED TO THE REVISIONS OF ANNEX II AND III COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 91/414/EEC: ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES (EFSA, 2007) AQUATIC ECOTOXICOLOGY GUIDANCE DOCUMENT (SANCO/3268/2001)	то 10 11	
4	STANDARD METHODS FOR ACUTE AND CHRONIC TOXICITY TESTS	12	
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	TEST SPECIES TEST DESIGN ENDPOINTS ENDOCRINE DISRUPTION SUMMARY OF STANDARD METHODS AND GUIDANCE	14 15 17 17 18	
5	METHODS FOR ACUTE AND CHRONIC TOXICITY TESTS IN CONTRACT AND RESEARCH ORGANISATIONS	19	
5.1 5.1.1 5.2 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	TEST SPECIES INSECTS NON-ARTHROPOD INVERTEBRATES TEST DESIGN ENDPOINTS POTENTIAL TEST SPECIES SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW	19 19 20 20 20 21	
6	LITERATURE REVIEW OF METHODS FOR ACUTE AND CHRONIC TOXICITY TESTS	21	
6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2 6.2	TEST SPECIES INSECTS NON-ARTHROPOD INVERTEBRATES TEST DESIGN	21 21 21 22	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

6.3 6.4 6.5	ENDPOINTS	22 22 23
7	IDENTIFIED PROTOCOLS FOR TESTING THE EFFECTS OF CHEMICALS AGAINST AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES OTHER THAN CRUSTACEA	23
7.1 7.2	SELECTION OF TEST SPECIES	24 29
REFE	RENCES	30
APPE	NDIX 1: EXISTING STANDARD METHODOLOGIES	36
A1.1:7 A1.2:0	ACUTE TOXICITY TESTS IN WATER ONLY TEST SYSTEMS	36 43
APPE	NDIX 2: METHODS IN USE IN CONTRACT AND RESEARCH	-0
	LABORATORIES	52
A2.1:	ACUTE TOXICITY TESTS IN WATER ONLY TEST SYSTEMS IN CONTRACT RESEARC	СН 52
A2.2:	ACUTE TOXICITY TESTS IN SEDIMENT:WATER TEST SYSTEMS IN CONTRACT AN RESEARCH LABORATORIES	ND 35
A2.3:	CHRONIC TOXICITY TESTS IN WATER ONLY TEST SYSTEMS IN CONTRACT AN RESEARCH LABORATORIES	ND 56
A2.3:	CHRONIC TOXICITY TESTS IN SEDIMENT:WATER TEST SYSTEMS IN CONTRACT AN RESEARCH LABORATORIES	ND 59
APPE	NDIX 3: METHODS IN PEER-REVIEWED REFERENCES (FIRST	71
	URAF I)	r I
A3.1:/	ACUTE TOXICITY TESTS IN WATER ONLY TEST SYSTEMS	71
A3.2: /	ACUTE TOXICITY TESTS IN SEDIMENT:WATER TEST SYSTEMS	79
A3.3.0	CHRONIC TOXICITY TESTS IN WATER ONLY TEST SYSTEMS	50
APPE	NDIX 4: PROTOCOLS IDENTIFIED FOR TESTING THE EFFECTS OF CHEMICAL AGAINST INVERTEBRATES OTHER THAN CRUSTACEA	34
APPE	NDIX 5: ABBREVIATIONS	91
APPE	NDIX 5: ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	92

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Executive summary

Background

Plant protection products used within the European Union are regulated by Directive 91/414/EEC. Guidance Documents are available for notifying organisations and Member States on how to conduct particular aspects of the risk assessment. In order to facilitate the PPR's revision of the Ecotoxicology Guidance Document SANCO/3268/2001 (Aquatic Ecotoxicology), SANCO/10329/2002 (Terrestrial Ecotoxicology), literature reviews on six areas of ecotoxicology were commissioned.

EFSA's PPR Panel has reviewed the proposed methodology and approaches outlined in the Commission Working Document SANCO/10483/2006 rev.6 on the proposed data requirements for the revision of Directive 96/12/EC (ecotoxicological studies) within the framework of revisions to 91/414/EEC. In its opinion issued in 2007 (EFSA, 2007), the PPR Panel made the following main recommendations relevant to this literature review:

- Specific testing on endocrine endpoints should be included for invertebrates; endocrine endpoints from these tests should allow assessment at a population level.
- Given the high diversity among invertebrates, chronic toxicity data for another taxonomic group should be included in addition to Crustaceans, especially for compounds with an insecticidal mode of action. An insect with several generations a year that does not live in sediment would simplify the exposure situation.

Objectives

The objectives of the contract are as follows:

To compile all available scientific information for available protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than crustacean.

To present the scientific information in a complete, systematic, clear and concise report written in English.

A literature search was conducted to identify whole organism toxicity tests reporting at least mortality and/or immobility as endpoints. Specific tests on endocrine endpoints are also considered. The selected literature concentrates on effects of pesticides on freshwater species although other references are considered where appropriate. Where studies have been designed to include an exposure and recovery phase only the exposure phase is considered. This is to avoid duplication of effort with Lot 5 "Evidence of potential long term effects in (aquatic and terrestrial) invertebrates after short term pulsed exposure".

In addition to conducting a search of standard methods and published literature, Contract Research Organisations (CROs) were approached. These organisations were asked to respond to a questionnaire on their experiences of conducting toxicity tests with non-standard species. The majority of the CROs canvassed provided a comprehensive response with only a small number deciding not to participate in the review.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Results

Sixteen detailed protocols were identified for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea, that had been published by national and international standards agencies (ASTM, OECD, USEPA, APHA), comprising six acute tests and seven chronic tests (one test included acute and chronic endpoints). These included *Chironomus riparius, C. tentans, Hexagenia* sp., *Lumbriculus variegatus*, other Lumbriculidae and Tubificidae worms, *Brachionus calyciflorus* and freshwater mussels (Table 4.1, Annex 1). In addition to these protocols, guidance documents were also available on selecting appropriate additional species, test designs, endpoints, statistics and validation criteria for regulatory tests.

The chironomid Full Life Cycle tests (USEPA 2000) may address the requirement for a chronic toxicity test with an insect species that includes endpoints suitable for determining population level effects as a result of exposure to potential EDCs. However, the PPR Opinion stated a preference for a chronic insect test without sediment to simplify the exposure situation.

Seven Contract Research Organisations (CROs) responded to requests for details of any test methods they had used with non-Crustacea invertebrates. Information on the source and age of species, test parameters, test endpoints and assessment criteria were obtained. A total of 53 protocols were received employing 43 test species.

Novel protocols were identified for *Chaoborus crystallinus, Haprophlebia lauta, Serratella ignita* and *Lymnaea stagnalis.* In addition to these tests, a wide range of test species, predominantly field collected and resident in at least some areas of Europe were identified. These included Diptera, Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera, Hemiptera, Odonata, Sialidae, gastropod snails, bivalves, Oligochaeta, and rotifers. These have all been used in acute toxicity tests and in some cases, they have also been used in chronic toxicity tests.

A total of 111 protocols were identified in the literature review. Seventy-six acute protocols and 26 chronic protocols were conducted in water-only test systems. Three acute protocols and six chronic protocols specified the presence of sediment. In total there were 70 test species.

This report presents the review of protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea. Five protocols were identified as providing information additional to that provided by existing standard protocols. The species identified were *Chironomus riparius, Cloeon triangulifer, Chaoborus crystallinus, Lymnaea stagnalis and Brachionus calyciflorus*.

The insects *Chironomus riparius, Cloeon triangulifer* and *Chaoborus crystallinus* would be good candidate species for monitoring the effects of potentially endocrine disrupting insecticides. It was also demonstrated that *Chaoborus crystallinus* is suitable for long term culturing in the laboratory. *Lymnaea stagnalis, Brachionus calyciflorus* or any of the three species identified above would also be suitable for chronic toxicity tests in addition to *Daphnia*, although tests with sediment will inhibit direct comparisons between *Daphnia* endpoints and the additional test species. Summaries of the five protocols that are recommended as candidates for providing a non-crustacean chronic invertebrate test that may be sensitive to endocrine disruption are presented overleaf with more detailed descriptions listed in Appendix 4.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Summaries of the five protocols that are recommended as candidates for providing a non-crustacean chronic invertebrate test that may be sensitive to endocrine disruption

Species: Test description: Source: Test design:	<i>Chironomus riparius</i> Full life cycle test including F1 viability endpoints Laboratory culture Modification of OECD method with continuous exposure from 1 st instar of parent through to emergence of the F1 generation
Endpoints:	Emergence ratio, development rate, no. egg ropes per female, fertility of egg ropes, viability of offspring, sex ratio of emerged adults (P and F1 generation)
Validation criteria:	Same as the OECD test guidelines
Reference:	Several similar protocols including (Taenzler et al. 2007), (USEPA 2000)
Species: Test description:	Cloeon triangulifer Two tests reported, together they cover exposure from 1 st instar to hatching success of F1 generation
Source: Test design:	Laboratory culture or lab reared field collected eggs 1 st instar exposed to test item in a semi-static test system with natural water and no sediment Following emergence and egg laying the hatch success is determined
Endpoints: Validation criteria:	Emergence, hatch success, adult residues Not recorded
Reference:	(Sweeney et al. 1993)
Species: Test description: Source:	Chaoborus crystallinus Part life cycle test Field collected and in-house stock (potential for long term culturing of laboratory stocks has been identified by the relevant CRO)
Test design:	1 st instar exposed in a semi-static water only test system until emergence (30-90 d)
Endpoints: Validation criteria:	Mortality, growth, moulting, pupation, emergence, reproduction None specified
Reference:	CRO protocol (see Appendix 2)
Species: Test description: Source: Test design: Endpoints:	<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i> Reproduction in a hermaphroditic snail Laboratory culture 84 d semi-static test in reconstituted water with no sediment Adult mortality, fecundity, mean no. egg clutches, hatchability (in clean water)
Validation criteria:	Not specified
Reference:	(Czech et al. 2001)
Species:	Brachionus calyciflorus (rotifer) Resting egg production
Source:	Laboratory culture or cysts
Test design:	4 d static test in reconstituted water initiated with neonate females. Feeding during the study, no sediment, no aeration
Endpoints: Validation	Resting egg production Not recorded
Reference:	(Preston et al. 2000)

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

1 Introduction

1.1 Background information

Plant protection products used within the European Union are regulated by Directive 91/414/EEC. Guidance Documents are available for notifying organisations and Member States on how to conduct particular aspects of the risk assessment. In order to facilitate the PPR's revision of the Ecotoxicology Guidance Document SANCO/3268/2001 (Aquatic Ecotoxicology), SANCO/10329/2002 (Terrestrial Ecotoxicology), and considering the Opinion of the PPR Panel related to the revisions of Annex II and III to Council Directive 91/414/EEC (Ecotoxicology), literature reviews on six areas of ecotoxicology were commissioned. This report is covering the available scientific information regarding aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea.

Freshwater invertebrates are extremely diverse and include both arthropod and nonarthropod species. In freshwater systems the arthropods are dominated by Insecta and Crustacea with some Arachnida species. Non-arthropod invertebrates found in freshwater systems include Annelida (worms and leeches), Cnidaria (Hydra), Mollusca, Platyhelminthes (flatworms) and Rotifera. Protocols were identified for all of the aforementioned orders of aquatic invertebrates.

1.2 Objective

The objective of this literature review was to compile available scientific information on protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea.

The methods used in this literature review are detailed in Section 2. Section 3 provides an overview of the main recommendations derived from the Opinion of the PPR Panel related to revisions of Annex II and III to Council Directive 91/414/EEC: Ecotoxicological Studies (EFSA, 2007) and of the existing Aquatic Ecotoxicology Guidance Document and identifies reasoning for and requirements when identifying available protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against invertebrates other than Crustacea. Section 4 examines test protocols already provided by national and international standards agencies. Section 5 details those test protocols currently in use by Contract Research Organisations (CROs). Section 6 examines the peer-reviewed literature for suitable test protocols and identifies some potential methods for consideration. Finally, Section 7 provides recommendations, conclusions and a summary of potential test protocols.

2 Methods

2.1 Literature search methodology

This report considers whole organism toxicity tests reporting at least mortality and/or immobility as endpoints. Specific tests on endocrine endpoints are also considered. The selected literature concentrates on effects of pesticides on freshwater species although other references are considered where appropriate.

Studies with and without sediment present are considered separately. Studies including artificial substrates (e.g. glass beads or wire mesh) are included with water-

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

only test systems as the artificial substrate has usually been selected from inert materials commonly used for test vessels (i.e. glass or stainless steel).

Acute tests have been defined as tests of up to four days duration. Studies with a duration of more than four days are considered as chronic studies. Where studies have been designed to include an exposure and recovery phase, only the exposure phase is considered. This is to avoid duplication of effort with Lot 5 "Evidence of potential long term effects in (aquatic and terrestrial) invertebrates after short term pulsed exposure".

An initial literature search was conducted using Scopus, Science Direct and British Library journal articles advanced search. Some active ingredients were identified by name in the search terms. These were taken from a list identified in previous research (Maltby et al. 2002) and the Pesticides Manual (Tomlin 2000). Only references from 1980 onwards were included in the database. In addition to the search of published peer reviewed papers, literature references were sought from OECD, ASTM, EFSA and USEPA OPPTS and APHA. The search terms used to interrogate literature databases are summarised as follows:

Search terms used*

Aquatic or freshwater or "fresh water" or stream* or pond* or river* or ditch* or lake AND

toxic* or lethal* or risk or EC? Or EC?? or LC? Or LC?? or NOEC or LOEC or effect or exposure

AND

pesticid* or insecticid* or acaricid* or "plant protection product*" or "91/414" or carbamate* or organophosphorous or organochlori* or "chlorinated hydrocarbon" or pyrethroid or fungicide* or "plant activator*" or nematicide or bactericide or "plant host defence inducer" or "bird repellent" or neonicotinoid or triazole or phenylamide or carbamate or "aromatic hydrocarbon" or benzoylurea or "acyl-urea" or "acetylcholinesterase inhibitor" or "Azinphos?methyl" or Bendiocarb or Carbaryl or Carbofuran or Chlorpyrifos or Cyfluthrin or Cypermethrin or Deltamethrin or Diazinon or Diflubenzuron or Esfenvalerate or Fenitrothion or Fenvalerate or cyhalothrin or Lindane or Methoxychlor or Parathion or Permethrin or Phorate or Tralomethrin AND

invertebrate or arthropod* or insect or insecta or arachnid* or annelid* or mollusc or mollusca or planar* or rotifer* or turbellari* or gastropod* or bivalve* or oligochaet* or hirudin* or odonata or coleoptera or diptera or ephemeroptera or plecoptera* or trichoptera* or hemiptera* or neuropteran* or megaloptera* or ostracoda* or hydra or larvae or midges or mussel or oligochaete or nematode or annelid or boatman or non-target or worm or snail or leech or dragonfly or damselfly or beetle or fly or mayfly or stonefly or caddis or caddisfly or bug

AND NOT

avian or bovine or human or forest or soil

The search of literature databases and additional data sources identified a total of 2394 references.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

2.2 Initial selection criteria

An initial selection criteria was applied to the preliminary reference list to identify papers that addressed the literature search brief. The following papers were included:

- 1. Single-species laboratory studies
- 2. Acute and chronic duration
- 3. Water only and sediment:water studies
- 4. Lethality, immobility and other "whole organism" endpoints.
- 5. European and non-European species in initial assessment

References meeting the following criteria were excluded as they were either not suitable methods for standardised regulatory tests or were considered in other Lots:

- 1. Data for crustaceans
- 2. Long term effects after short term exposure
- 3. Only indirect effects are reported
- 4. Saltwater and estuarine species
- 5. Mesocosm studies and field studies
- 6. Genetic and microbiological effects

2.3 Supplementary questionnaire

In addition to conducting a search of standard methods and published literature, CROs were approached. Organisations were asked if they were willing to respond to a questionnaire. Questions included whether there was experience of conducting toxicity tests with non-standard species. Where additional species had been tested details were sought. The results of this questionnaire are presented in Section 7 and Appendix 2.

Initially, references for existing standard protocols (ASTM, OECD, USEPA) were examined to determine what methods were available and where additional protocols were required. Next, the results from the questionnaire sent to CROs were examined. Additional non-standard test species and suitable protocols already in use were identified. Finally the results of the literature search were examined. From these data additional species that may be suitable additional species in regulatory tests were identified.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

3 Recommendations from the Opinion of the PPR Panel related to revisions of Annex II and III (91/414/EEC) and of the existing Aquatic Ecotoxicology Guidance Document

3.1 Opinion of the PPR Panel related to the revisions of Annex II and III to Council Directive 91/414/EEC: Ecotoxicological studies (EFSA, 2007)

EFSA's PPR Panel reviewed the Commission Working Document SANCO/10483/2006 rev.6 on the proposed data requirements for the revision of Directive 96/12/EC (ecotoxicological studies) within the framework of revisions to 91/414/EEC. In particular the PPR Panel were to review the proposed methodology and approaches outlined in the document. The PPR Panel made a number of recommendations (EFSA 2007). The main recommendations that are pertinent to this literature review included:

- "Consideration should be given to requiring more detailed information to be recorded from existing studies, such as more frequent observations on the time course of effects, to avoid any need for repeating studies"
- "Specific testing on endocrine endpoints should be included in the respective sections for both, fish and invertebrates"
- "Given the high diversity among invertebrates, toxicity data for another taxonomic group should be included in addition to Crustaceans"

Other comments in the opinion of the PPR Panel that may be relevant to the identification of protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against invertebrates other than Crustacea were:

- 1. Validated test protocols should be used. Where no validated method is available the notifier should justify the choice of non-standard method and provide details of their performance.
- 2. ECx is an alternative to NOEC and may become a preferred option therefore, this option should be available.
- 3. Endocrine endpoints should be included for invertebrates in the determination of effects on aquatic organisms. Endpoints from these tests should allow assessment at a population level.
- 4. It was considered important to include chronic toxicity data for another taxonomic group in addition to Crustaceans, especially for compounds with an insecticidal mode of action. An insect with several generations a year that does not live in sediment would simplify the exposure situation.
- 5. It was felt that reproduction was not appropriately addressed in the Chironomid test as young larvae development was recorded but their ability to reproduce was not considered as an endpoint.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

3.2 Aquatic Ecotoxicology Guidance Document (SANCO/3268/2001)

The Ecotoxicology Guidance Document SANCO/3268/2001 (Aquatic Ecotoxicology) details a number of protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea. Data requirements relevant to this literature review (i.e. not including Crustacea) include:

- 1. Studies with additional invertebrate species:
 - a. Studies with gastropod molluscs may be required if continued or repeated exposure is likely to occur. No accepted international guideline is currently available and gastropods are considered to be generally less sensitive than *Daphnia*. Therefore a reasoned case should be made as to why the test is not required.
 - b. Mode-of-action should be considered prior to deciding whether additional species testing is required. An acute Chironomid test should be conducted for insecticides. A chronic chironomid test may also be required, for example, where the insecticide is a growth regulator.
- 2. Tests with sediment dwelling organisms:
 - a. Annex II specifies *Chironomus* sp, as the required test organism to assess potential effects on sediment dwelling organisms. OECD 218 and 219 are available methods for testing sediment dwelling organisms. Spiked water is normally considered to be the most realistic exposure scenario. Spiked sediment tests are recommended where there is an accumulation of the pesticide in the sediment over time. However, it was noted in the guidance document that OECD 219 did not require sediment concentrations to be quantified.
 - b. Toxicity to sediment dwelling invertebrates may also be addressed in a suitably designed mesocosm study.
- 3. Endocrine effects: At the time of publication of the Aquatic Ecotoxicology Guidance Document, it was considered premature to make firm recommendations. It was anticipated that endocrine effects will be dealt with in similar way to other expressions of effect as many of the areas of uncertainty are similar (e.g. intra and inter-species, study duration, exposure route etc.).

The use of any international guideline that is comparable with those mentioned in Annex II or III is acceptable. In principle, species mentioned in other test guidelines are acceptable, although not all are indigenous to Europe.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

4 Standard methods for acute and chronic toxicity tests

Testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates is usually carried out with a few surrogate species. This facilitates comparison between tests by regulatory agencies, minimise costs as the standard test species are easy to culture and available throughout the year and, maximise reliability by using well understood species in well characterised test systems (USEPA 1996b).

Sixteen detailed protocols were identified for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea that are published by national and international standards agencies (ASTM, OECD, USEPA, APHA), comprising six acute tests and seven chronic tests (one test included acute and chronic endpoints). These included *Chironomus riparius, C. tentans, Hexagenia* sp., *Lumbriculus variegatus*, other Lumbriculidae and Tubificidae worms, *Brachionus calyciflorus* and freshwater mussels (Table 4.1, Annex 1). In addition to these protocols guidance documents were also available on selecting appropriate additional species, test designs, endpoints, statistics and validation criteria for regulatory tests.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

• •			••		
Target species	Test system	Duration	Endpoint	Effect	Reference
<i>Chironomus</i> <i>riparius</i> ¹ Non-biting midge	Static	10d, 20-28 d	Immobility, growth, emergence, development rate	ECx, NOEC, LOEC	(OECD 2004b, a)
<i>Chironomus</i> <i>tentans</i> ² Non-biting midge	Flow-through	14 d	Mortality, growth, bio- concentration	LC50, EC50, NOEC, LOEC, MATC	(USEPA 1996c)
<i>Hexagenia</i> sp. Mayfly	Static, recirculating or flow- through	4 d	Immobility	LC50	(Henry et al. 1986)
<i>Lumbriculus variegatus</i> Worm	Static	2-4 d	Mortality, fragmentation, clumping, mucus production, swelling, colour changes	LC50, EC50	(Bailey 1980)
Lumbriculus variegatus Worm	Static	28 d	Reproduction, growth, behavioural changes	ECx, NOEC, LOEC	(OECD 2007)
Tubificidae or Lumbriculidae Worms	Semi-static	10 d	Mortality	LC50, LT50	(Eaton et al. 2005)
Brachionus calyciflorus Rotifer	Static	1 d	Mortality	LC50	(ASTM 2004a)
Brachionus calyciflorus Rotifer	Static	2 d	Mortality, reproduction	LC50, EC50, NOEC, LOEC	(Eaton et al. 2005)
<i>Hexagenia</i> sp. Mayfly	Static or flow- through	4-7 d, 5-60 d 30-90d	Survival, Growth, Emergence	NR	(Eaton et al. 2005)
<i>Chironomus</i> sp. Non-biting midge	Flow-through	30 d	Mortality, growth, emergence, no. mature eggs	NR	(Eaton et al. 2005)
Freshwater mussels	Static, recirculating or flow- through	2 d	Mortality	NR	USEPA, 2006
Freshwater mussels: Glochidia	Static, renewal or flow through	1 d	Mortality	LC50, EC50, IC50, NOEC, LOEC	(ASTM 2006)
Freshwater mussels: juvenile	Static, renewal or flow through	4 d	Mortality	LC50, EC50, IC50, NOEC, LOEC	(ASTM 2006)
Freshwater mussels: juvenile	Static, renewal or flow through	10-28 d	Mortality, growth	LC50, EC50, IC50, NOEC, LOEC	(ASTM 2006)
Chironomus tentans	Flow through	50-65 d	Mortality, weight, emergence, sex ratio, adult mortality, no. egg cases laid, no. egg cases produced, no. hatched eggs		(USEPA 2000)

Table 4.1: Summary of non-Crustacea invertebrate protocols identified from standard methods (ASTM, OECD, USEPA, APHA). See Appendix 1 for further details.

¹ two protocols, water spiked, and sediment spiked ² three exposure scenarios - aqueous, sediment:water and interstitial

"The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

4.1 Test species

In addition to the seven taxa in the 16 protocols outlined above, the test protocols frequently make reference to alternative taxa (e.g. *Chironomus riparius, C. tentans* or *C. yoshimatsui* are all identified as suitable species in the OECD guidelines). Details of the additional species for these protocols are listed in Appendix I. In addition to these protocols, less prescribed test methods are identified in more general guidance documents published by ASTM, USEPA and OECD to facilitate the choice of test species and test design. Many of the factors considered for selecting additional test species as higher tier tests are relevant to this literature review (USEPA 1996a, b, ASTM 2004b, OECD 2006, ASTM 2007a, b, 2008a).

Twenty-five species were identified as potential test species in the Standard Guide for Selection of Resident Species as Test Organisms for Aquatic and Sediment Toxicity Tests (ASTM 2004b). These included Protozoa (four), Rotifer, Coelenterata (one), Oligochaeta (four), Mollusca (one gastropod, three bivalves) and Insecta (five Ephemeroptera, three Plecoptera, one Odonata, one Trichoptera and two Diptera. APHA provide some guidance on test designs for Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera, rotifers, oligochaetes and molluscs (Eaton et al. 2005).

ASTM produced a list of recommended additional test species. These are available, of commercial, recreational and ecological importance, been used in previous studies, and easy to handle in the laboratory. The list includes stoneflies, mayflies, midges, snails and flatworms. The use of species from this list is encouraged to increase comparability of results for a few species (ASTM 2007a).

When selecting species for toxicity testing it is important to consider the ease with which the species might be cultured in the laboratory or sourced from elsewhere. Test species should be taxonomically identifiable, readily available from the field or in-house cultures, easily maintained in the laboratory, have a broad geographical distribution, tolerant to broad range of sediment physiological properties (e.g. organic carbon, grain size), be compatible with exposure duration and endpoints and tolerant of water quality characteristics (ASTM 2008b).

Most non-standard species cannot be reliably cultured under laboratory conditions. However, the mayfly *Cloeon triangulifer* and the rotifer *Brachionus acuticornis* were identified as species that could be cultured in the laboratory. It should be noted that there may be changes in the sensitivities of populations that are held in laboratory cultures (ASTM 2004b). Intermittent testing against a reference toxicant can ensure that the population's sensitivity is not altered.

If the species cannot be cultured in the laboratory, they may be sourced from natural communities. Field collected organisms should be representative of species likely to be exposed to the test item in natural systems and sourced from uncontaminated areas. The species should have a wide geographical distribution to allow field collections to be made. Species of an appropriate age and condition should be easily available for most of the year. Ease of handling, collection, resistance to handling and no exposure to prior contaminants should all be considered when identifying suitable test species (ASTM 2004b). The selected taxa also need to be sensitive to the test item (ASTM 2007b).

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Immature individuals are usually more sensitive and should be selected unless the endpoint of interest requires adult exposure. Some taxonomic groups are not ideally suited to acute lethality endpoints. For example, bivalves can close their valves for extended periods of time thus, limiting the potential effects of toxicants. However, reduction in shell deposition can be determined under conditions suited to rapid growth (ASTM 2007a). *Lumbriculus* were exposed to seven pesticides in a four day test (malathion, 2,4-D, sevin, chlordane, methoxychlor, treflan, DDT). The results were compared with 24 and 48h EC50 toxicity data for standard test species *Daphnia* sp. *L. variegatus* were found to be less sensitive in all instances (Bailey 1980).

It is also important to consider which life stages might be sensitive, and which will provide the required endpoints (ASTM 2004b). For example, first instar *Chironomus* larvae have been demonstrated to be more than two orders of magnitude more sensitive to some metals than later instars in sediment tests (ASTM 2008b). Consideration should also be given as to whether particular trophic levels are of interest (e.g. filter feeders, deposit feeders, algal scrapers, predators). Finally it is important that taxonomic identity is accurate (ASTM 2004b). This is particularly important for field-collected species where two or more similar species may be present, and in some instances may not be distinguished from each other until later instars (Eaton et al. 2005, ASTM 2008b).

Source and history of test organisms, including acclimation time and acclimation conditions should be reported together with full description of test design, sources of water and sediment, and full description of survival, growth and behaviour of control organisms (ASTM 2004b).

4.2 Test design

Sixteen protocols were identified; six used a static test system, one used a semistatic test system, three used a flow-through test system and six identified various test systems as appropriate. Static tests are the easiest tests to set up and perform. In static tests the water level can be topped up during the study to replace evaporated media. However, semi-static or flow-through tests are likely to be more appropriate for chronic studies or larger organisms where there is a need to maintain the exposure concentration and suitable environmental conditions. It should be noted that where sediment is present the equilibrium between sediment and water is more difficult to monitor and/or maintain (ASTM 2008b). Test item concentrations should be measured at the start and end of the test. In chronic semi-static and flow-through tests, additional measurements should be taken during the study. Measured concentrations confirm the test system has been designed appropriately and operating correctly and reflect actual concentrations to which test organism is exposed (USEPA 1996a).

Eight of the twelve protocols identified a requirement for sediment, three specified natural, two specified artificial and three allowed either natural or artificial sediments to be used. Where exposure is not via the sediment many benthic or sediment dwelling organisms may survive in water-only test system with provision of chemically inert structures to facilitate normal behaviour in natural habitat, e.g. glass tubes for sediment dwelling mayfly *Hexagenia* sp. (Henry et al. 1986, ASTM 2004b).

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Artificial or dechlorinated tap water was specified for four of the protocols, seven specified either artificial or natural water and five did not record the preferred water type. Six chronic protocols identified a need to feed the test organisms. Five studies (all chronic) specified that aeration should be provided to maintain dissolved oxygen levels.

Ideally test duration should be sufficient to ensure a time-independent toxicity level can be determined. Different taxonomic groups and different life stages may require different test durations (e.g.) (ASTM 2004b). For Daphnids, chironomids and Chaoboridae, acute exposure should last for at least two days. Other invertebrate taxa should be exposed for at least four days. Organisms should not be fed during or immediately before acute toxicity tests. Uneaten food and faecal material will decrease dissolved oxygen levels and may reduce biological activity of some test items due to the additional fate pathways present in the test system (ASTM 2007a).

The physical variables of a test may influence behavioural responses of the test species. For example, factors such as temperature, light, water quality, water flow, substrate, cover and food quality (ASTM 2007b) should be considered. It is also important to ensure the loading rate (stocking density) of organisms in the test chambers is not too high. This is to ensure dissolved oxygen levels and the test item concentrations are maintained. Furthermore, stress from crowding or aggregation will be avoided. Loadings should be reduced if necessary to keep DO above 60% saturation (ASTM 2007a). Where appropriate artificial substrates can be found to simulate natural habitat benthic or sediment dwelling organisms may be tested in water only tests (ASTM 2004b).

Field collected organisms should be maintained for at least two days in dilution water at test temperatures. The transfer from field water to dilution water and adjustment to test temperature should be gradual to avoid shock. Where more than 5% of organism show signs of disease or stress the group should not be used (ASTM 2007a).

Test validity criteria were identified that should be met in most testing situations (ASTM 2007a):

- Test chambers should all be identical
- Treatments should be randomly assigned
- Dilution water or solvent control should be included
- Organisms were disease free and had not been treated for disease within ten days
- Test organisms maintained in dilution water at test temperature for at least two days prior to exposure
- Individuals randomly assigned to test chambers
- Not more than 10% organisms in control showed disease, stress, unusual behaviour or mortality during the test
- Dissolved oxygen should be measured at the start and end of the test and at least every 48 h in high, medium and low test concentrations as a minimum requirement
- Maximum and minimum temperature should be measured daily in one test chamber as a minimum requirement and maintained between prescribed parameters

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

- At least 60% dissolved oxygen saturation in a renewal or flow through test, at least 40% dissolved oxygen saturation in a static test
- Organic solvents should not exceed 0.5 ml/L
- Surfactants must not be used to prepare test solutions
- When calculating LC50 or EC50 one treatment should affect less than 37% and one treatment should affect more than 67% of exposed organisms.

4.3 Endpoints

When selecting species for toxicity testing, it is important to identify sensitive endpoints (e.g. survival, growth, reproduction, emergence and metabolism) and include taxa which are sensitive to specific modes of action e.g. insects for insecticides (Eaton et al. 2005, ASTM 2008b).

In the sixteen standard protocols listed in Table 4.1, fifteen listed mortality or immobility as an endpoint. Endpoints other than mortality or immobility were almost exclusively associated with chronic toxicity tests. Eight protocols identified growth parameters as an endpoint, five measured emergence, five measured reproduction and two protocols measured F1 survival. Two protocols identified bioconcentration as an endpoint. Physical impairment and behavioural changes were each identified once in the standard protocols.

Mortality and immobility are the most commonly reported endpoints. Immobility is usually defined as lack of movement except for minor spontaneous movement of appendages (ASTM 2007a). Behavioural responses can include respiration, locomotion, habitat selection (e.g. orientation, response to light), competition, feeding (feeding preferences, feeding rates, prey selection, feeding efficacy), predator avoidance and reproduction. These responses will alter by species, genetic strain, population, gender, and developmental stage of the organism (ASTM 2007b). General observations such as erratic swimming, loss of reflex, excitability, discoloration, excessive mucus production, moulting, cessation of burrowing and cannibalism should be recorded (ASTM 2007a).

4.4 Endocrine disruption

An endocrine disrupter is an exogenous substance or mixture that alters function(s) of the endocrine system and consequently causes adverse health effects in an intact organism, or its progeny, or (sub)populations (CSTEE 1999). The endocrine system in invertebrates is best described in insects due to the development of 3rd generation insecticides targeting the endocrine system of the target species. The insecticides act as juvenile hormone (ant)agonists or ecdysone (ant)agonists, interfering with various processes, including moulting, metamorphosis, vitellogenesis and reproduction (OECD 2006).

Endocrine systems in invertebrates are not analogous to vertebrate systems. Insecticides acting as hormone (ant)agonists and may have adverse effects on nontarget invertebrates (Taenzler et al. 2007). Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) can affect moulting, morphology, behaviour, sexual maturity, time to first brood, egg development time, brood size (fecundity) and sex determination in invertebrates (OECD 2006). Development of standardized test to cover these types of effect is required (Taenzler et al. 2007).

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Unfortunately knowledge of which potential EDCs affect invertebrate species, and the mode of action of any effects expressed, is incomplete. Effects associated with potential endocrine disrupters can be latent and not expressed until later in life or until reproduction occurs. In order to capture these latent effects, tests for endocrine disruption often encompass two generations. This allows effects on fertility and mating, embryonic development, sensitive neonatal growth and development, and transformation from the juvenile life state to sexual maturity to be evaluated (OECD 2006).

For species that reproduce parthenogenetically, genetic variability within the test population can be low compared to species that reproduce sexually. Reducing variability in responses allows more subtle impacts to be detected (Ingersoll et al. 1996). However, the use of parthenogenetically reproducing animals will result in important sexual reproduction processes (e.g., gametogenesis) are not being evaluated (OECD 2006)

Present regulatory ecotoxicity testing cannot detect all endocrine disrupting effects. New methods should be based on *in vivo* exposure and invertebrate tests should include endpoints to allow which cover full life-cycle effects related to endocrine disruption (CSTEE 1999).

Research on the effect of EDCs on invertebrates have identified a number of important factors that require consideration (OECD 2006):

- Chronic test may reveal impacts at much lower doses than acute tests
- EDCs may affect invertebrates differently to vertebrates
- Pesticides affecting physiological processes in the target organism may affect different processes in non-target organisms
- Responses of males and females may differ
- Endocrine effects do not necessarily correlate well with toxicity effects or octanol/water partition co-efficients (Kow)
- Some endpoints to potential EDCs can also be caused by non-EDCs.

4.5 Summary of standard methods and guidance

Sixteen detailed standard protocols were identified although guidance exists for many other taxa. Guidance is also provided on selecting and testing non-standard additional resident species. These documents are useful in determining the suitability of non-standard test species when additional tests are required to address effects on particular taxonomic groups.

The chironomid Full Life Cycle tests (USEPA 2000) may address the requirement for a chronic toxicity test with an insect species that includes endpoints suitable for determining population level effects as a result of exposure to potential EDCs. However, the PPR Opinion stated a preference for a chronic insect test without sediment to simplify the exposure situation.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

5 Methods for acute and chronic toxicity tests in Contract and Research Organisations

CROs involved in ecotoxicity tests with invertebrate species were approached and asked for details of any test methods they had used with non-Crustacea invertebrates. Information on the source and age of species, test parameters, test endpoints and assessment criteria were obtained.

Responses were received from seven CROs. These included a total of fifty-three protocols. Thirty-eight acute protocols and six chronic protocols were reported in water only test systems. One acute and seven chronic protocols were reported in sediment:water test systems. In total, there were forty-three test species.

Twenty of the test protocols were reported to have been used in GLP compliant tests. These included one rotifer, one Chaoboridae, five Chironomidae, three Ephemeroptera (mayfly), one Trichoptera (caddisfly), one Odonata (damselfly), three Hemiptera, four molluscs and one Oligochaeta.

5.1 Test species

5.1.1 Insects

Twenty-six of the forty-three test species were insects. These included eight Diptera (*Chaoborus obscuripes, C. crystallinus, Dicrotendipes sp., Chironomus riparius, Endochironomus albipennis, Glyptotendipes sp., Macropelopia sp., Culex sp.*), six mayfly (*Cloeon dipterum, Caenis horaria, Serratella ignita, Haproleptoides confuse, H. lauta, Ephemera danica*), three Caddisfly (*Hydropsyche sp., Molanna angustata, Sericostoma sp.*), four Hemiptera (*Sigara striata, Notonecta maculate, Plea minutissima, Ranatra linearis*), three Odonata (*Erythromma viridulum, Anax imperator, Coenagrionidae*), one Sialidae (*Sialis lutaria*) and one Lepidoptera (*Paraponix stratiotata*).

Two species were sourced from a commercial supplier, eight species were field collected, two species were in-house stock and 16 were sourced from mesocosms or rainwater reservoirs. Where acclimation time was reported for field or mesocosm collected organisms it was for between one and five days.

5.1.2 Non-arthropod invertebrates

Seventeen of the forty-three test species were non-arthropod invertebrates. These included six gastropod (*Bithynia tentaculata, Lymnaea stagnalis, Physa fontinalis, Planorbarius corneus, Planorbis contortis , Melanoides auberculata*), one bivalve (*Sphaerium* sp.), four Oligochaeta (*Dero digitata, Stylaria lacustris, Lumbriculus variegatus, Tubifex* sp.), four flatworm (*Dugesia sp., D. lugubris, Polycelis nigra, P. tenuis*), one leech (*Erpobdella* sp.) and one rotifer (*Brachionus calyciflorus*).

Two species were sourced from a commercial supplier, nine species were field collected, four species were in-house stock or laboratory culture and three were sourced from mesocosms or rainwater reservoirs. Where acclimation time was reported for field or mesocosm collected organisms, it was for between one and five days.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

5.2 Test design

Forty-three protocols employed a static test system and ten employed a semi-static system. Artificial sediment was used in three of the static test protocols. Sand was used as sediment in two of the semi-static protocols and stones were used in two semi-static protocols for Ephemeroptera.

Water used in these protocols included filtered natural water, Elendt M4, reconstituted water (ASTM and OECD specifications). Interestingly each CRO has selected a water source and this source is used for all species tested within that facility. With one exception (*Chironomus riparius*), test species were only fed in studies with a duration of seven or more days. There was only one chronic study were food was not specified (*Sphaerium* sp.). Aeration was supplied in all studies with a duration of ten or more days with the exception of a 30-90 d protocol for *Chaoborus crystallinus*. Aeration was only supplied in three acute protocols; these were for the Ephemeroptera; *Ephemera danica, Haproleptoides confuse, H. lauta* and *Serratella ignita*.

5.3 Endpoints

In protocols for acute tests, the reported endpoints were all for mortality or immobility. Twenty-six acute protocols also identified at least one behavioural endpoint but details were not provided.

In protocols for chronic tests, the reported endpoints included mortality, immobility, moulting, pupation, time to emergence, emergence success, daily and total emergence, sex ratio, reproduction and development rate (Chironomidae), survival, growth, reproduction and hatching rate of eggs (Lymnaea), emergence characteristics, sex ratio (Ephemeroptera), growth measured as total biomass (Lumbriculidae) and unspecified behavioural endpoints.

5.4 Potential test species

Three tests were identified that meet the requirement of providing chronic toxicity tests for insects. Freshly hatched *Chaoborus crystallinus* were exposed in a semistatic water-only test system for 30-90 d (until emergence). Endpoints included mortality, growth, moulting, pupation, emergence and reproduction. In addition, one CRO confirmed that long-term culturing of this species in the laboratory is possible, further suggesting its suitability as a candidate test species

Two mayfly taxa, *Haprophlebia lauta* and *Serratella ignita*, were collected from field populations and exposed in a semi-static sediment:water test system. Test durations were 56 d and 28 d respectively and endpoints from both species were mortality, emergence characteristics and sex ratio. A test was also identified for the gastropod *Lymnaea stagnalis*. Juveniles and adults were exposed in a water only test system for 28 d. Endpoints were survival, growth, reproduction, fertility and hatch rate.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

5.5 Summary of literature review

Four of the 43 species tested were standard test species. Novel protocols were identified for *Chaoborus crystallinus, Haprophlebia lauta, Serratella ignita* and *Lymnaea stagnalis.* In addition to these tests a wide range of test species, predominantly field collected and resident in at least some areas of Europe were identified. These included Diptera, Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera, Hemiptera, Odonata, Sialidae, gastropod snails, bivalves, Oligochaeta, and rotifer. These have all been used in acute toxicity tests and in some cases they have also been used in chronic toxicity tests

6 Literature review of methods for acute and chronic toxicity tests

6.1 Test species

A total of 111 protocols were identified. Seventy-six acute protocols and 26 chronic protocols were conducted in water-only test systems. Three acute protocols and six chronic protocols specified the presence of sediment. In total there were seventy test species.

6.1.1 Insects

Forty-seven of the seventy test species were insects. These included one Coleoptera (*Gyrinus natator*), twelve Diptera (*Aedes aegypti, Chaoborus crystallinus, C. obscuripes, Chironomini, Chironomus riparius, C. tentans, C. thummi, Cricotopus spp., Culex pipiens, Macropelopia* sp., *Simulium latigonium, Tanytarsus dissimilis*), eleven Ephemeroptera (*Ameletus* sp., *Atalophlebia* spp., *Baetis* sp., *Caenis horaria, C. miliaria, Cinygmula reticulata, Cloeon dipterum, C. triangulifer, Epeorus longimanus, Ephoron virgo, Hexagenia bilineata*), five Hemiptera (*Anisops sardeus, Corixa punctata, Notonecta glauca, N. maculate, Sigara striata*), one Megaloptera (*Sialis lutaria*), six Odonata (*Austrolestes colensonis, Cordulia aenea, Erythromma viridulum, Lestes sponsa, Sympetrum striolatum, Xanthocnemis zealandica*), three Plecoptera (*Calineuria californica, Hesperoperla pacifica, Pteronarcys dorsata*) and eight Trichoptera (*Brachycentrus americanus, Clistoronia magnifica, Cyrnus trimaculatus, Hydropsyche angustipennis, H. siltalai, Lepidostoma unicolor, Notidobia ciliaris, Psychoglypha* sp.). Thirteen of these taxa were used in CRO tests.

6.1.2 Non-arthropod invertebrates

Twenty-three of the seventy test species were non-arthropod invertebrates. These included one Hydracarina (*Piona carnea*), two Hydrozoa (*Hydra viridissima, Hydra vulgaris*), six Oligochaeta (*Lumbriculus variegatus, Tubifex tubifex, Dero digitata, Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, Stylaria lacustris, Stylodrilus heringianus*), nine Mollusca (*Bithynia tentaculata, Dreissena polymorpha, Juga plicifera, Lampsilis siliquoidea, Lymnaea acuminata, L. stagnalis, Physa integra, Planorbis planoris, Unio elongatulus eucirrus*), one Rotifer (*Brachionus calyciflorus*), four Turbellaria (*Dugesia lugubris, Polycelis nigra, P. tenuis, Dugesia dorotocephala*). Six of these taxa were used in CRO tests.

Insect and non-arthropod invertebrates were sourced from laboratory cultures (28%), eggs or glochidia from field populations to establish laboratory population (6%), or field collected organisms (58%). It is evident from the above species lists that a very wide range of insect species have been used in ecotoxicity tests.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

6.2 Test design

In acute tests, 49 protocols reported a static test system, five reported a semi-static system and two protocols were conducted using a flow-through system. Sediment was reported in two static and one flow-through system. In chronic tests, six protocols reported a static test system, 13 tests used a semi-static test system and 8 protocols used a flow-through test system. It should be noted that reporting of test design parameters was often incomplete.

Water used in these protocols included dechlorinated or aerated (a technique employed to actively volatilise chlorine from tap water) tap water, groundwater (often dechlorinated), pond lake or river water reservoir water and reconstituted water. No attempt was made to link water source with taxa or endpoint as the responses from CROs indicated that each laboratory had a single water source that was used for most or all of their non-standard species tests. Twelve percent of acute tests reported feeding of test organisms during testing. Feeding was reported in 56% of chronic tests.

6.3 Endpoints

For many protocols, more than one endpoint was reported. Acute studies all reported mortality or immobility (59) with some including feeding inhibition (three) or emergence in late instar insects (two). One acute study with *Chaoborus* sp. reported 'ability to stay in suspension' as an acute endpoint. Growth and avoidance behaviour were also reported.

The number of endpoints measured and range of endpoints used in chronic protocols was much greater. Once again mortality and immobility were the most common endpoints (15). Endpoints relating to development and reproduction were identified in a number of chronic studies. These included growth (three), gill beats (one), moulting (three), body condition index (one), emergence (two) and reproduction (one). Endpoints relating to physical integrity were reported for a number of non-arthropod invertebrates. These included morphological abnormalities (one), head lesions (one), fissioning (one), physical integrity (one) and strength (one). Behavioural changes (five) and bioaccumulation (four) were also reported (Appendix 3).

6.4 Potential test species

Six tests were identified that meet the requirement of providing chronic toxicity tests for insects. First instar Cloeon triangulifer, cultured in the lab from field collected, stored eggs were exposed in a semi-static water only test system for approximately 43 d (until emergence and ovipositing). The endpoints were emergence, egg viability and adult residues. The eggs were exposed in a static or semi-static system to determine hatch success and larval mortality. Maintenance of laboratory cultures of Ephoron virgo, Cyrnus trimaculatus and Hydropsyche angustipennis were identified although the test conducted were only for acute tests. However, if the Trichoptera C. trimaculatus and H. angustipennis can be maintained in laboratory cultures then chronic and Full Life Cycle (FLC) tests may be possible. Several chronic and FLC tests are identified for Chironomus sp. The existence of laboratory cultures and well established chronic test protocols make this a useful test species. Unfortunately, the presence of sediment in the test system complicated the exposure profile. Finally sexually mature Lymnaea stagnalis were exposed for 84 d in a semi-static waster only test system. Endpoints included egg production, egg hatching success and hatching survival.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

6.5 Summary of the literature review

Four of the 43 species tested were standard test species. Novel protocols that meet some or all of the PPR Panel requirements were identified for *Chaoborus crystallinus, Haprophlebia lauta, Serratella ignita* and *Lymnaea stagnalis.* There was also a chronic (two day) rotifer test for resting egg production. In addition to these tests, a wide range of test species, predominantly field collected and resident in at least some areas of Europe were identified. These included Diptera, Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera, Hemiptera, Odonata, Sialidae, gastropod snails, bivalves, Oligochaeta, and rotifer. These have all been used in acute toxicity tests and in some cases they have also been used in chronic toxicity tests. Six potential protocols and/or species were identified from the literature. These were *Cloeon triangulifer, Ephoron virgo, Cyrnus trimaculatus, Hydropsyche angustipennis, Chironomus* sp. and *Lymnaea stagnalis*.

7 Identified protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than Crustacea

The aim of this literature review was to examine the existing standard test methods, identify other test species currently in use in CROs and search the peer reviewed literature to provide a short-list of species that meet some or all of the PPR Panel criteria. Protocols for a total of 75 genera from 20 taxonomic orders were identified as potential methods meeting the PPR Panel criteria (Table 7.1). Test species were dominated by arthropods, with Insecta accounting for 69% of all test species.

da Hydracarir Coleoptera Dintera	na 1 a 1
da Hydracarir Coleoptera Diptera	na 1 a 1
Coleoptera	a 1
Diptera	
Diptoru	12
Ephemero	ptera 12
Hemiptera	6
Lepidopter	ra* 1
Megalopte	era 1
Odonata	8
Plecoptera	a 2
Trichopter	a 9
•	
a Arhynchol	odellida 1
aeta Lumbricida	a 2
aeta Tubificida	4
a Hydroida	1
Unionoida	2
Veneroida	2
oda Basomma [*]	tophora 4
oda Neotaenio	glossa 3
ria Tricladida	2
nota Plioma	1
	Ephemero Hemiptera Lepidopter Megalopter Odonata Plecoptera Trichopter aeta Lumbricida aeta Tubificida aeta Tubificida va Hydroida Unionoida Veneroida oda Basomma oda Neotaenio ria Tricladida nota Plioma

Table 7.1: Summary of the taxonomic composition of species considered in this
review.

* aquatic larval stage

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

7.1 Selection of test species

Test species were required for two different objectives. Firstly, to provide a species for testing potential EDCs in non-Crustacea invertebrates and provide population relevant endpoints. Secondly, to identify a non-Crustacea invertebrate from another taxonomic group to try and address the diversity among invertebrates.

An ideal test species for examining potential EDCs in a chronic test should be easily sourced, suitable for laboratory culture, not excessively stressed by handling and thrive under control conditions for most of it's lifecycle in a water-only test system (OECD 2006). It should have a short life-cycle, reproduce sexually throughout the year, be a sensitive representative of non-target species in the field and include many of the potentially endocrine sensitive processes in it's lifecycle. Some of these criteria can be met by a number of species identified in this literature review.

Many of these species may also be suited to the second objective, to identify non-Crustacea invertebrates from another taxonomic group where there is a requirement to increase the diversity in test organisms (EFSA 2007). These tests may be of a shorter duration than those used to test for EDCs. Taxa that were identified as potential test species are summarised in Table 7.2.

It was evident from the questionnaire to CROs that a wide variety of indigenous nonstandard test species can be used in acute laboratory toxicity tests. A few of these species are also suited to chronic studies. Field collected organisms should be collected from a clean source and held for several days in test conditions to ensure they are not stressed by the test environment (ASTM 2004b).

In addition to existing standard test methods and the CRO questionnaire a literature review was conducted. Further potential test species were identified. Several methods for culturing Ephemeroptera and Trichoptera methods from field collected or stored eggs were also identified. Storing eggs from a field population would allow testing throughout the year. However, if such test methods were to be used it would be necessary to verify that there was no change in sensitivity with season or storage time of the eggs.

Each of the species identified in Table 7.2 has a number of advantages and limitations when it is considered as a suitable test species. These will be considered in turn. Full details of the protocols are listed in Appendix 4.

Chironomus riparius

Chironomus sp. (Diptera) has a worldwide distribution and can be found inhabiting almost every type of water body. They are an established regulatory test genus. Eggs hatch after two to six days, there are then four larval instars, followed by a short pupal stage lasting one to two days. Males emerge before females (protandry) and adults are short lived (OECD 2006).

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

As chironomid larvae live in the sediment a suitable substrate must be provided. (Watts et al. 2003) demonstrated that sediment type was an important factor in differences in reported responses as concentrations of some contaminants were greater in the pore waters of the artificial sediment than in those of the natural sediment, thus altering the exposure regime. (Watts et al. 2003) also found that *C. tentans* was more sensitive than *C. riparius* to the same toxicants evaluated under the same test conditions. *C. tentans* was also less physically robust resulting in greater variability in the data, especially emergence data (OECD 2006).

The chironomid protocols are two generation studies. Sexual reproduction and a pupal stage maximise the species characteristics that may be affected by EDCs. The main disadvantage of *C. riparius* is the need for a sediment:water testing system which complicates exposure routes.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Table 7.2: Potential protocols for chronic toxicity tests with non-Crustacean aquatic invertebrates (tests include endpoints that may be sensitive to endocrine disruption)

Species: Test description: Source: Test design:	<i>Chironomus riparius</i> Full life cycle test including F1 viability endpoints Laboratory culture Modification of OECD method with continuous exposure from 1 st instar of parent through to emergence of the F1 generation
Endpoints:	Emergence ratio, development rate, no. egg ropes per female, fertility of egg ropes, viability of offspring, sex ratio of emerged adults (P and F1 generation)
criteria: Reference:	Several similar protocols including (Taenzler et al. 2007), (USEPA 2000)
Species: Test description: Source: Test design:	<i>Cloeon triangulifer</i> Two tests reported, together they cover exposure from 1 st instar to hatching success of F1 generation Laboratory culture 1 st instar exposed to test item in a semi-static test system with natural water and no sediment Following emergence and egg laying the hatch success is
Endpoints: Validation criteria: Reference:	Emergence, hatch success, adult residues Not recorded (Sweeney et al. 1993)
Species: Test description: Source:	Chaoborus crystallinus Part life cycle test Field collected and in-house stock (potential for long term culturing of laboratory stocks has been identified by the relevant CRO)
Test design: Endpoints: Validation criteria: Reference:	 1st instar exposed in a semi-static water only test system until emergence (30-90 d) mortality, growth, moulting, pupation, emergence, reproduction None specified CRO protocol (see Appendix 2)
Species: Test description: Source: Test design: Endpoints: Validation criteria: Reference:	<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i> Reproduction in a hermaphroditic snail Laboratory culture 84 d semi-static test in reconstituted water with no sediment Adult mortality, fecundity, mean no. egg clutches, hatchability (in clean water) Not specified (Czech et al. 2001)
Species: Test description: Source: Test design: Endpoints: Validation criteria: Reference:	Brachionus calyciflorus (rotifer) Resting egg production Laboratory culture or cysts 4 d static test in reconstituted water initiated with neonate females. Feeding during the study, no sediment, no aeration Resting egg production Not recorded (Preston et al. 2000)

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Cloeon triangulifer

The test is initiated with 1st instar larvae and ends with hatch success of F1 generation. The test is conducted in a water only test system, simplifying the test design and determination of exposure concentrations. *C. triangulifer* reproduces as parthenogenetic clones, reducing intraspecific variability thus increasing the power of the test to detect subtle effects. However, parthenogenesis and no pupal stage reduce the number of species characteristics that may be affected by EDCs. There is also an absence of information on how the species is cultured in the laboratory. *Cloeon triangulifer* is a North American species. However, *Cloeon dipterum* is a widespread and abundant species in Europe and may respond well to similar treatment.

Chaoborus crystallinus

C. crystallinus (Diptera) lives in the water column of static water bodies. It is known to be extremely sensitive to some pesticide groups and, like *Chironomus* sp., undergoes full metamorphosis. Eggs are deposited on the water surface which hatch and there are four larval instars followed by a pupal stage and emergence for sexual reproduction. In natural water bodies in the UK, Chaoboridae are univoltine or bivoltine. The test is initiated with 1st instar larvae in a semi-static water only test system. The test duration is 30-90 days with mortality, growth, moulting, pupation, emergence and reproduction endpoints.

C. crystallinus has the advantages of sexual reproduction and a pupal stage maximising the species characteristics that may be affected by EDCs. It also has the added advantage of the test being conducted without sediment, simplifying the exposure regime. It is also known to be sensitive to many pesticides. In addition, *C. crystallinus* are strong candidates for a potential test species as they have been demonstrated to be suitable for long term culturing in a laboratory environment and, the use of artificial substrates is not required.

Lymnaea stagnalis

Lymnaea stagnalis is an abundant and widespread gastropod mollusc found in European fresh waters. The test is initiated with sexually mature individuals sourced from a laboratory culture. The test was conducted in a semi-static water only test system. The test duration is 84 d with endpoints of adult mortality, fecundity, mean number of egg clutches and hatch rate. The adults were exposed for up to twelve weeks. Eggs were removed and hatched in clean water and maintained under culture conditions until sexually mature.

This protocol provides a chronic exposure test for an indigenous gastropod snail that can be cultured in the laboratory. This protocol could be useful for determining the sensitivity of indigenous non-arthropod invertebrates to pesticides with a specific mode of action (e.g. molluscicides). It also presents some reproductive endpoints although eggs were not exposed to the test item. A disadvantage of this protocol is the lack of exposure of juvenile life stages. Also, the sensitivity of *L. stagnalis* relative to other gastropod snails is not known.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Brachionus calyciflorus

Brachionus calyciflorus is a rotifer species found in static water bodies. Most planktonic rotifers reproduce via cyclical parthenogenesis incorporating both asexual and sexual reproduction. Asexual reproduction predominates but sexual reproduction is important as resting eggs are produced. Seasonal resting egg production is an important population level endpoint as in temperate regions it may be only the resting eggs that survive over winter.

The test is initiated with neonate females in a static, water only test system. The test duration is four days with resting egg production as the endpoint. The test endpoint was shown to be very sensitive for pentachlorophenol (PCP) relative to other rotifer endpoints. It has the advantage of being a quick and simple test and provides information on the sensitivity of a non-arthropod invertebrate. It's main disadvantage is the relative insensitivity of rotifers to many insecticides.

Other species

Culturing methods also exist for the European species *Ephoron virgo, Hydropsyche angustipennis* and *Cyrnus trimaculatus*. The protocols detailed in the literature for these taxa are all acute tests. However, *H angustipennis* and *C. trimaculatus* were both cultured in the laboratory and could therefore be candidate taxa for whole life cycle or chronic tests. *Cloeon dipterum* or *Ephoron virgo* were both reared in the laboratory from field collected eggs.

In addition to these species, a wide range of field-collected European species could be used as additional test species. However, with field collected organisms their history is unknown. For higher tier testing this level of variability may be acceptable as long as the source of the organisms is identified and described. However, it is less suitable for lower tier tests where results from different facilities and different chemicals need to be compared. For this reason, it is recommended that additional test species are limited to those species that can be either reared in the laboratory or will tolerate an acclimation period of several days.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

7.2 Recommendations and conclusions

The objectives of this report were to "compile all available scientific information for available protocols for testing the effects of chemicals against aquatic invertebrates other than crustacean". This literature review considered whole organisms tests and focused on effects of pesticides in freshwater species. A supplementary questionnaire was sent out to CRO's to identify taxa and protocols in use by these facilities.

Five protocols were identified as providing information additional to that provided by existing standard protocols. The species identified were *Chironomus riparius, Cloeon triangulifer, Chaoborus crystallinus, Lymnaea stagnalis and Brachionus calyciflorus.* An overview of the reasons for identifying each method are given below. Test methods are presented in Section 7.2:

- *Chironomus riparius* (Chironomid) was considered a suitable test species because the protocol is for a full life cycle test including F1 viability endpoints. The test method is an extension of the existing OECD test guidelines and the taxa is easily cultured in the laboratory. The method includes additional individual and population relevant endpoints for two generations making the protocol a good candidate for monitoring the effects of potentially endocrine disrupting insecticides. The main disadvantage of *C. riparius* is the need for a sediment:water testing system which complicates exposure routes.
- Cloeon triangulifer (Mayfly) was considered a suitable test species because the protocol covers exposure from early instar parent to F1 hatching success and may therefore be a good candidate for monitoring the effects of potentially endocrine disrupting insecticides. However, *C. triangulifer* reproduces as parthenogenetic clones and does not have a pupal stage, reducing the number of species characteristics that may be affected by EDCs. The test duration also means this protocol would be a suitable candidate for chronic toxicity tests in addition to *Daphnia*.
- Chaoborus crystallinus (Phantom midge or non-biting midge) was considered a suitable test species as the protocol provides a chronic toxicity test in addition to *Daphnia*. It may also be a good candidate for monitoring the effects of potentially endocrine disrupting insecticides as a number of potentially sensitive endpoints (e.g. moulting, pupation, emergence) are included. *C. crystallinus* was also identified as being suitable for long term culturing in the laboratory and does not require sediment in the test system.
- Lymnaea stagnalis (Pond snail) could be useful for determining the sensitivity of indigenous non-arthropod invertebrates to pesticides with a specific mode of action (e.g. molluscicides). A disadvantage of this protocol is the lack of exposure of potentially sensitive juvenile life stages.
- Brachionus calyciflorus (Rotifer) was considered a suitable test species because the protocol provides a chronic toxicity test in addition to *Daphnia*. It's main disadvantage is the relative insensitivity of rotifers to many insecticides.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

References

- Alexander AC, Culp JM, Liber K, Cessna AJ (2007) Effects of insecticide exposure on feeding inhibition in mayflies and oligochaetes. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 26:1726-1732
- Anderson RL, DeFoe DL (1980) Toxicity and bioaccumulation of endrin and methoxychlor in aquatic invertebrates and fish. Environmental Pollution Series A: Ecological and Biological 22:111-121
- Anderson RL, Shubat P (1984) Toxicity of flucythrinate to Gammarus lacustris (amphipoda), Pteronarcys dorsata (plecoptera) and Brachycentrus americanus (trichoptera): Importance of exposure duration. Environmental Pollution Series A: Ecological and Biological 35:353-365
- Ankley GT, Collyard SA (1995) Influence of piperonyl butoxide on the toxicity of organophosphate insecticides to three species of freshwater benthic invertebrates. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - C Pharmacology Toxicology and Endocrinology 110:149-155
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2004a) E1440-91Standard Guide for Acute Toxicity Test with the Rotifer Brachionus Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology. Vol. 5: Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides. ASTM International
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2004b) E1850-04 Standard Guide for Selection of Resident Species as Test Organisms for Aquatic and Sediment Toxicity Tests Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology. Vol. 5: Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides. ASTM International
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2006) E2455-06 Standard Guide for Conducting Laboratory Toxicity Tests with Freshwater Mussels Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology. Vol. 5: Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides. ASTM International
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2007a) E729-96 Standard Guide for Conducting Acute Toxicity Tests on Test Materials with Fishes, Macroinvertebrates, and Amphibians Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology. Vol. 5: Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides. ASTM International
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2007b) E1604-94 Standard Guide for Behavioral Testing in Aquatic Toxicology Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology. Vol. 5: Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides. ASTM International
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2008a) E1525-02 Standard Guide for Designing Biological Tests with Sediments Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 11: Water and Environmental Technology. Vol. 5: Biological Effects and Environmental Fate; Biotechnology; Pesticides. ASTM International
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2008b) E1525-02 Standard Guide for Designing Biological Tests with Sediments

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

- Bailey HC, and D.H.W. Liu (1980) Lumbriculus variegatus, a Benthic Oligochaete, as a Bioassay Organism In: J.C.Eaton, P.R.Parrish, and A.C.Hendricks (Eds.), Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment, 3rd Symposium, ASTM STP 707, Philadelphia, PA :205-215
- Beketov MA (2004) Comparative sensitivity to the insecticides deltamethrin and esfenvalerate of some aquatic insect larvae (Ephemeroptera and Odonata) and Daphnia magna. Russian Journal of Ecology 35:200-204
- Beketov MA, Liess M (2008) Acute and delayed effects of the neonicotinoid insecticide thiacloprid on seven freshwater arthropods. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 27:461-470
- Best JB, Morita M, Abbotts B (1981) ACUTE TOXIC RESPONSES OF THE FRESHWATER PLANARIAN, DUGESIA DOROTOCEPHALA, TO CHLORDANE. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 26:502-507
- Bettinetti R, Provini A (2002) Toxicity of 4-Nonylphenol to Tubifex tubifex and Chironomus riparius in 28-Day Whole-Sediment Tests. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 53:113-121
- Bringolf RB, Cope WG, Barnhart MC, Mosher S, Lazaro PR, Shea D (2007a) Acute and chronic toxicity of pesticide formulations (atrazine, chlorpyrifos, and permethrin) to glochidia and juveniles of Lampsilis siliquoidea. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 26:2101-2107
- Bringolf RB, Cope WG, Eads CB, Lazaro PR, Barnhart MC, Shea D (2007b) Acute and chronic toxicity of technical-grade pesticides to glochidia and juveniles of freshwater mussels (Unionidae). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 26:2086-2093
- Chapman PM, Farrell MA, Brinkhurst RO (1982) Relative tolerances of selected aquatic oligochaetes to combinations of pollutants and environmental factors. Aquatic Toxicology 2:69-78
- Comité Scientifique de Toxicologie, Ecotoxicologie et l'Environnement (1999) STEE Opinion on Human and Wildlife Health Effects of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals, with Emphasis on Wildlife and on Ecotoxicology Test Methods. Report of the Working Group on Endocrine Disrupters of the Scientific Committee on Toxicity, Ecotoxicity and the Environment (CSTEE) of DG XXIV, Consumer Policy and Consumer Health Protection
- Czech P, Weber K, Dietrich DR (2001) Effects of endocrine modulating substances on reproduction in the hermaphroditic snail Lymnaea stagnalis L. Aquatic Toxicology 53:103-114
- Dauberschmidt C, Dietrich DR, Schlatter C (1996) Toxicity of organophosphorus insecticides in the zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorpha P. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 30:373-378
- Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Rice EW, Greenberg AE, (Eds) (2005) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Prepared jointly by American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C. (EUA); American Water Works Association, Washington, D.C. (EUA) and Water Environment Federation, Washington, D.C. (EUA). APHA, Washington, D.C. 21. ed.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

- European Food Safety Authority (2007) Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Plant protection products and their residues (PPR) related to the revision of Annexes II and III to Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market - Ecotoxicological studies. The EFSA Journal 461:1-44
- Fremling CR, Mauck WL (1980) Methods for using nymphs of burrrowing mayflies (Ephemeroptera, *Hexagenia*) as toxicity test organisms. In: Buikema Jr AL, Cairns Jr J (eds) Aquatic Invertebrate Bioassays. ASTM STP 715. American Society for Testing and Materials, p 81-97
- Greve G, van der Geest H, Stuijfzand S, Engels S, Kraak M (1998) Development of ecotoxicity tests using laboratory reared larvae of the riverine caddisflies *Hydropsyche angustipennis* and *Cyrnus trimaculatus*. Proc. Exper.& Appl. Entomol., NEV Amsterdam 9:205-210
- Greve G, van der Geest H, Stuijfzand S, Kureck A, Kraak M (1999) Development and validity of an ecotoxicity test using field collected eggs of the riverine mayfly *Ephoron virgo*. Proc. Exper.& Appl. Entomol., NEV Amsterdam 10:105-110
- Hahn T, Liess M, Schulz R (2001) Effects of the hormone mimetic insecticide tebufenozide on Chironomus riparius larvae in two different exposure setups. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 49:171-178
- Hardersen S, and S.D. Wratten (1996) The Sensitivity of the Nymphs of Two New Zealand Damselfly Species (Odonata: Zygoptera) to Azinphos-Methyl and Carbaryl Australas.J.Ecotoxicol. 2(2):55-60
- Hardersen S, Wratten SD (2000) Sensitivity of aquatic life stages of Xanthocnemis zealandica (Odonata: Zygoptera) to azinphos-methyl and carbaryl. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 34:117-123
- Henry MG, Chester DN, Mauck WL (1986) Role of artificial burrows in *Hexagenia* toxicity tests: Recommendations for protocol developments. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 5:553-559
- Hose GC, Hyne RV, Lim RP (2003) Toxicity of endosulfan to Atalophlebia spp. (ephemeroptera) in the laboratory, mesocosm, and field. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 22:3062-3068
- Ingersoll CG, Brunson EL, Dwyer FJ (1996) Methods for Assessing Bioaccumulation of Sediment-associated contaminants with freshwater invertebrates. In: USEPA (ed) National Sediment Bioaccumulation Conference
- Köprücü K, Seker E (2008) Acute toxicity of deltamethrin for freshwater mussel, Unio elongatulus eucirrus bourguignat. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 80:1-4
- Kraak MHS, Ainscough C, Fernández A, Van Vlaardingen PLA, De Voogt P, Admiraal WA (1997) Short-term and chronic exposure of the zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) to acridine: Effects and metabolism. Aquatic Toxicology 37:9-20
- Lahr J, Badji A, Marquenie S, Schuiling E, Ndour KB, Diallo AO, Everts JW (2001) Acute toxicity of locust insecticides to two indigenous invertebrates from Sahelian temporary ponds. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 48:66-75
- Maltby L, Blake N, Brock TCM, Van den Brink PJ (2002) PN0932: Addressing interspecific variation in sensitivity and the potential to reduce this source of uncertainty in ecotoxicological assessments.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

- Maul JD, Brennan AA, Harwood AD, Lydy MJ (2008) Effect of sediment-associated pyrethroids, fipronil, and metabolites on Chironomus tentans growth rate, body mass, condition index, immobilization, and survival. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 27:2582-2590
- Nebeker AV, McKinney P, Cairns MA (1983) Acute and chronic effects of diflubenzuron (Dimilin) on freshwater fish and invertebrates. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 2:329-336
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1984) OECD Guideline 207: Earthworm, acute toxicity test.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2004a) OECD Guideline 219: Sediment-water chironomid toxicity test using spiked water.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2004b) OECD Guidleine 218: Sediment-water chironomid test using spiked sediment.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2006) No. 55: Detailed review paper on aquatic arthropods in life-cycle toxicity tests with an emphasis on developmental and endocrine disruptive effects. OECD Environment Health and Safety Publications Series on Testing and Assessment
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2007) OECD Guideline 225: Sediment-Water Lumbriculus Toxicity Test Using Spiked Sediment.
- Peterson JL, Jepson PC, Jenkins JJ (2001) A test system to evaluate the susceptibility of Oregon, USA, native stream invertebrates to triclopyr and carbaryl. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 20:2205-2214
- Phipps GL, Mattson VR, Ankley GT (1995) Relative sensitivity of three freshwater benthic macroinvertebrates to ten contaminants. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 28:281-286
- Pollino CA, Holdway DA (1999) Potential of two hydra species as standard toxicity test animals. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 43:309-316
- Preston BL, Snell TW (2001) Full life-cycle toxicity assessment using rotifer resting egg production: implications for ecological risk assessment. Environmental Pollution 114:399-406
- Preston BL, Snell TW, Robertson TL, Dingmann BJ (2000) Use of freshwater rotifer Brachionus calyciflorus in screening assay for potential endocrine disruptors. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 19:2923-2928
- Schroer AFW, Belgers JDM, Brock TCM, Matser AM, Maund SJ, Van Den Brink PJ (2004) Comparison of Laboratory Single Species and Field Population-Level Effects of the Pyrethroid Insecticide ?-Cyhalothrin on Freshwater Invertebrates. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 46:324-335
- Stephenson RR (1982) Aquatic toxicology of cypermethrin. I. Acute toxicity to some freshwater fish and invertebrates in laboratory tests. Aquatic Toxicology 2:175-185
- Stuijfzand SC, Poort L, Greve GD, Van Der Geest HG, Kraak MHS (2000) Variables determining the impact of diazinon on aquatic insects: Taxon, developmental stage, and exposure time. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 19:582-587

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

- Sweeney B, Funk D, Standley L (1993) Use of the stream mayfly *Cloeon triangulifer* as a bioassay organism: life history response and body burden following exposure to technical chlordane. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 12:115-125
- Taenzler V, Bruns E, Dorgerloh M, Pfeifle V, Weltje L (2007) Chironomids: suitable test organisms for risk assessment investigations on the potential endocrine disrupting properties of pesticides. Ecotoxicology 16:221-230
- Tassou K, Schulz R (2009) Effects of the insect growth regulator pyriproxyfen in a two-generation test with *Chironomus riparius*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 72:1058-1062
- Tomlin CDS (ed) (2000) The Pesticide Manual, 12th Edition. British Crop Protection Council
- Tripathi PK, Singh A (2004a) Carbaryl induced alterations in the reproduction and metabolism of freshwater snail Lymnaea acuminata. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 79:1-9
- Tripathi PK, Singh A (2004b) Toxic effects of cypermethrin and alphamethrin on reproduction and oxidative metabolism of the freshwater snail, Lymnaea acuminata. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 58:227-235
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (1996a) Ecological Effects Test Guidelines: OPPTS 850.1000 Special considerations for conducting aquatic laboratory studies.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (1996b) Ecological Effects Test Guidelines: OPPTS 850.1735 Whole sediment acute toxicity invertebrates, freshwater
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (1996c) Ecological Effects Test Guidelines: OPPTS 850.1790 Chironomid sediment toxicity test.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (2000) Methods for measuring the toxicity and bioaccumulation of sediment associated contaminants with freshwater invertebrates.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (2006) Standard guide for conducting laboratory tests with freshwater mussels.
- Van der Geest HG, G.D. Greve, M.E. Boivin, M.H.S. Kraak, and C.A.M. Van Gestel (2000) Mixture Toxicity of Copper and Diazinon to Larvae of the Mayfly (Ephoron virgo) Judging Additivity at Different Effect Levels Environ.Toxicol.Chem. 19(12):2900-2905
- Van Der Geest HG, Greve GD, De Haas EM, Scheper BB, Kraak MHS, Stuijfzand SC, Augustijn KH, Admiraal W (1999) Survival and behavioral responses of larvae of the caddisfly Hydropsyche angustipennis to copper and diazinon. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18:1965-1971
- Van Der Geest HG, Greve GD, Kroon A, Kuijl S, Kraak MHS, Admiraal W (2000) Sensitivity of characteristic riverine insects, the caddisfly Cyrnus trimaculatus and the mayfly Ephoron virgo, to copper and diazinon. Environmental Pollution 109:177-182
- Van Wijngaarden R (1993) Comparison of response of the mayfly Cloeon dipterum to chlorpyrifos in a single species toxicity test, laboratory microcosms, outdoor ponds and experimental ditches. Science of the Total Environment:1037-1046

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

- Van Wijngaarden RPA, Barber I, Brock TCM (2009) Effects of the pyrethroid insecticide gamma-cyhalothrin on aquatic invertebrates in laboratory and outdoor microcosm tests. Ecotoxicology 18:211-224
- Van Wijngaarden RPA, Crum SJH, Decraene K, Hattink J, Van Kammen A (1998) Toxicicity of Derosal (active ingredient carbendazim) to aquatic invertebrates. Chemosphere 37:673-683
- Villar D, M.H. Li, and D.J. Schaeffer (1993) Toxicity of Organophosphorus Pesticides to Dugesia dorotocephala Bull.Environ.Contam.Toxicol. 51:80-87
- Watts MM, Pascoe D, Carroll K (2003) Exposure to 17[alpha]-ethinylestradiol and bisphenol A--effects on larval moulting and mouthpart structure of Chironomus riparius. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 54:207-215
- Wendt-Rasch L (1998) Effects of fenvalerate on the net-spinning behaviour of Hydropsyche siltalai (Döhler) (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae). Hydrobiologia 382:53-61

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."
Appendix 1: Existing standard methodologies

ASTM Standard Guide for Acute Toxicity Test with the Rotifer Brachionus	
Reference:	(ASTM 2004a)
Test species	
Species tested:	Brachionus calyciflorus
Source of organisms:	Hatched from cysts
Age of organisms:	0-2 hours
Acclimation time:	16 – 22 hours to hatch
Acclimation conditions:	Hatched at 25 °C in standard dilution water
Test design	
Test type:	Static
Test duration (days):	1 d
Endpoints:	Mortality
Effects:	LC50
No. treatments:	5
Replicates per	4
treatment:	
Organisms per	10
replicate:	
Feeding :	None
Aeration or additional	None
substrate:	
Test acceptability	Dissolved oxygen >90%, <10% control mortality, <37% mortality in
criteria:	at least one test concentration, >67% mortality in at least one test
	concentration.
Test conditions	
Test chamber size (ml):	2.5
Test chamber material:	Tissue culture plates
Water source:	Reconstituted water
Water volume(ml):	1
Water quality	Dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, hardness
measurements:	
Temperature (°C):	25 ± 1°C
Illuminance (lux):	-
Photoperiod:	0L:24D

A1.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Role of artificial burrows in developments.	n Hexagenia toxicity tests: Recommendations for protocol
Reference:	(Henry et al. 1986)
Test species	
Species tested:	Hexagenia sp.
Source of organisms:	Eggs collected from gravid females in the field, <i>H. limbata</i> eggs have been stored for 120 d, <i>H. bilineata</i> eggs stored for 380 d but with declining viability
Age of organisms: Acclimation time:	
Acclimation conditions:	Laboratory or pond culture from collected eggs.
Test design	
Test type:	Static, recirculating or flow-through
Test duration (days):	4 d
Endpoints:	Immobility
Effects:	LC50
No. treatments:	-
Replicates per	-
treatment:	
Organisms per	-
replicate:	
Feeding :	-
Aeration or additional	Synthetic substrate or sediment. For chronic studies lightly dust
substrate:	each replicate with Cerophyl powdered grass.
Test acceptability	-
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size (ml):	-
Test chamber material:	-
Water source:	-
Water volume(ml):	-
Water quality	-
measurements:	
Temperature (°C):	-
Illuminance (lux):	Low level yellow light
Photoperiod:	24L:0D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Standard guide for conduct	cting laboratory toxicity tests with freshwater mussels.
Reference:	(USEPA 2006)
Test species	
Species tested:	Not specified
Source of organisms:	Flush gills with syringe to obtain glochidia
Age of organisms:	<1 d
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Static, renewal or flow through
Test duration (days):	Up to 2 d
Endpoints:	Survival
Effects:	-
No. treatments:	-
Replicates per	3
treatment:	
Organisms per	500
replicate:	
Feeding :	None
Aeration or additional	None if dissolved oxygen maintained
substrate:	
Test acceptability	>90% control survival
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size (ml):	100
Test chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Study specific
Water volume(ml):	75
Water quality	DO, pH, ammonia, hardness, alkalinity, conductivity,
measurements:	
Temperature (°C):	20
Illuminance (lux):	100-1000
Photoperiod:	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

ASTM Standard guide for conducting laboratory toxicity tests with freshwater mussels:		
giochidia acute test		
Reference:	(ASTM 2006)	
Test species	Nationalified	
Species tested:	Not specified	
Source of organisms:	Not specified	
Age of organisms:	<2 n after glochidia isolated from female mussels	
Acclimation time:	-	
Acclimation conditions:	-	
lest design	Obstile sensi statile en flave three est	
lest type:	Static, semi-static or flow through	
lest duration (days):	1 d, longer for species where glochidia remain viable for several days	
Endpoints:	Mortality (valve closing in response to salt solution)	
Effects:	LC50, EC50, IC50, NOEC, LOEC	
No. treatments:	-	
Replicates per	3	
treatment:		
Organisms per	500	
replicate:		
Feeding :	None	
Aeration or additional	-	
substrate:		
Test acceptability	Control survival >90% at end of study	
criteria:		
Test conditions		
Test chamber size (ml):	100	
Test chamber material:	Glass	
Water source:	Reconstituted or natural water	
Water volume(ml):	75	
Water quality	Dissolved oxygen, pH, ammonia, hardness, alkalinity, conductivity	
Tomporature (%C):	20	
	20 100 1000	
Destanariad:		
Photoperiod:	101.00	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

ASTM Standard guide for conducting laboratory toxicity tests with freshwater mussels:		
acute juvenile freshwater	mussels	
Reference:	(ASTM 2006)	
Test species		
Species tested:	Not specified	
Source of organisms:	Not specified	
Age of organisms:	<5 d after release from host	
Acclimation time:	-	
Acclimation conditions:	-	
Test design		
Test type:	Static, semi-static or flow through	
Test duration (days):	4 d	
Endpoints:	Mortality	
Effects:	LC50, EC50, IC50, NOEC, LOEC	
No. treatments:		
Replicates per	Minimum 4	
treatment:		
Organisms per	Minimum 5	
replicate:		
Feeding :	None	
Aeration or additional	None	
substrate:		
Test acceptability	>90 % control survival additional requirements listed in reference	
criteria:	•	
Test conditions		
Test chamber size (ml):	50	
Test chamber material:	Glass	
Water source:	Reconstituted or natural	
Water volume(ml):	30	
Water quality	Dissolved oxygen, pH, ammonia, hardness, alkalinity, conductivity	
measurements:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Temperature (°C)	20	
Illuminance (lux)	100-1000	
Photoperiod:	16L:8D	
Illuminance (lux): Photoperiod:	100-1000 16L:8D	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

ASTM Standard guide for conducting laboratory toxicity tests with freshwater mussels:		
chronic juvenile freshwate	er mussels	
Reference:	(ASTM 2006)	
Test species		
Species tested:	Not specified	
Source of organisms:	Not specified	
Age of organisms:	2-4 months old	
Acclimation time:	-	
Acclimation conditions:	-	
Test design		
Test type:	Static, semi-static or flow through	
Test duration (days):	10-28 d	
Endpoints:	Mortality, growth (shell length)	
Effects:	LC50, EC50, IC50, NOEC, LOEC	
No. treatments:	-	
Replicates per	3	
treatment:		
Organisms per	10	
replicate:		
Feeding :	Yes, algae	
Aeration or additional	None	
substrate:		
Test acceptability	>80 % control survival additional requirements listed in reference	
criteria:		
Test conditions		
Test chamber size (ml):	300	
Test chamber material:	Glass	
Water source:	Reconstituted or natural	
Water volume(ml):	200	
Water quality	Dissolved oxygen, pH, ammonia, hardness, alkalinity, conductivity	
measurements:		
Temperature (°C):	20	
Illuminance (lux):	100-1000	
Photoperiod	16L:8D	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Lumbriculus variegatus, a	Benthic Oligochaete, as a Bioassay Organism
Reference:	(Bailey 1980)
Test species	
Species tested:	Lumbriculus variegatus
Source of organisms:	Lab culture
Age of organisms:	-
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	19 litre glass aquaria, flow-through conditions, 100g <i>L. variegatus</i> per tank, 5cm sand substrate, no aeration, fed trout food, 16L:8D
Test design	
Test type:	Static
Test duration (days):	2-4 d
Endpoints:	Mortality, fragmentation, clumping, localised swelling, mucus
	production, overall swelling, colour changes
Effects:	LC50
No. treatments:	
Replicates per	10
treatment:	
Organisms per	5-10
replicate:	
Feeding :	-
Aeration or additional	-
substrate:	
Test acceptability	-
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size (ml):	250
Test chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Dechlorinated tap water
Water volume(ml):	200
Water quality	Dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH,
measurements:	
Temperature (°C):	20°C
Illuminance (lux):	-
Photoperiod:	12L:12D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

OECD Sediment-water ch	ironomid test using spiked water
Reference:	(OECD 2004a)
Test species	
Species tested:	Chironomus riparius. Also C. tentans, C. yoshimatsui
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms:	1 st instar (2-3 d, 1-4 d for <i>C tentans</i>)
Acclimation time:	1 d in test vessels
Acclimation	Test conditions
conditions:	
Test design	
Test type:	Static without renewal
Test duration (days):	20-28 d (28-65 d for <i>C. tentans</i>); also 10 d
Exposure scenario:	Spiked water
Endpoints:	28 d - emergence, development rate; 10d – immobility, growth
	(AFDW ¹)
Effects:	ÈCx; NÓEC/LOEC
No. treatments:	5
Replicates per	Minimum 3 (ECx); 4 (NOEC/LOEC)
treatment:	
Organisms per	20
replicate:	
Feeding :	Fish food at least 3 times per week
Aeration or additional	Aeration from 7 days prior to test to test end
substrate:	
Test acceptability	70% control emergence in 12-23 days (20-65 d for <i>C. tentans</i>);
criteria:	Oxygen > 60% ASV ² ;pH 6-9; temperature ± 1.0 °C
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	600 ml, 8cm diameter
Test chamber	Glass with glass cover
material:	
Water source:	Any water conforming to prescribed chemical characteristics
Water volume:	6cm depth
Water quality	-
measurements:	<u>,</u>
Sediment source:	Artificial (2% TOC ³) or conditioned natural sediment
Sediment volume:	1.5-3.0 cm deep; 2-3 cm ² sediment per larvae; 1:4 sediment:water
	depth ratio
Temperature (°C):	20 (C. riparius); 23 (C. tentans); 25 (C. yoshimatsui)
Illuminance (lux):	500-1000
Photoperiod:	16L:8D
¹ Ash Free Dry Weight	

A1.2: Chronic toxicity tests in sediment:water test systems

²Ash Free Dry Weight ²ASV Air Saturation Value ³Total Organic Carbon

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

OECD Sediment-water cl	nironomid test using spiked sediment
Reference:	(OECD 2004b)
Test species	
Species tested:	Chironomus riparius. Also C. tentans, C. yoshimatsui
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms:	1 st instar (2-3 d, 1-4 d for <i>C tentans</i>)
Acclimation time:	1 d in test vessels
Acclimation	Test conditions
conditions:	
Test design	
Test type:	Static without renewal
Test duration (days):	20-28 d (28-65 d for <i>C. tentans</i>); also 10 d
Exposure scenario:	Spiked sediment (OECD 1984)
Endpoints:	28 d - emergence, development rate; 10d – immobility, growth
	(AFDW ¹)
Effects:	ÈCx; NÓEC/LOEC
No. treatments:	5
Replicates per	Minimum 3 (ECx); 4 (NOEC/LOEC)
treatment:	
Organisms per	20
replicate:	
Feeding :	Fish food at least 3 times per week
Aeration or additional	Aeration from 7 days prior to test to test end
substrate:	
Test acceptability	70% control emergence in 12-23 days (20-65 d for <i>C. tentans</i>);
criteria:	Oxygen > 60% ASV ² ;pH 6-9; temperature ± 1.0 °C
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	600 ml, 8cm diameter
Test chamber	Glass with glass cover
material:	
Water source:	Any water conforming to prescribed chemical characteristics
Water volume:	6cm depth
Water quality	-
measurements:	
Sediment source:	Artificial (2% TOC ³) or conditioned natural sediment
Sediment volume:	1.5-3.0 cm deep; 2-3 cm ² sediment per larvae; 1:4 sediment:water
	depth ratio
Temperature (°C):	20 (C. riparius); 23 (C. tentans); 25 (C. yoshimatsui)
Illuminance (lux):	500-1000
Photoperiod:	16L:8D
¹ Ash Free Dry Weight	
² ASV Air Saturation Value	

³Total Organic Carbon

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

OECD Sediment-water Lu	mbriculus toxicity test using spiked sediment
Reference:	(OECD 2007)
Test species	
Species tested:	Lumbriculus variegatus
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms:	Synchronised adults of similar size
Acclimation time:	1 day in test vessels
Acclimation conditions:	Test conditions
Test design	
Test type:	Static without renewal
Test duration (days):	28 d
Exposure scenario:	Spiked sediment followed by equilibrium period of 2-7 d
Endpoints:	Total number of worms, reproduction (increase of worm numbers),
	growth (increase of dry biomass), behavioural observations
Effects:	ECx; NOEC/LOEC as mg/kg sediment dry weight based on nominal
	or initial measured concentrations
No. treatments:	5
Replicates per	Minimum 3 (ECx); 4 (NOEC/LOEC); 6 for control
treatment:	
Organisms per	10
replicate:	
Feeding :	Powdered Urtica sp. in sediment
Aeration or additional	Gentle aeration
substrate:	
Test acceptability	Average no. individuals/replicate in controls increase by at least a
criteria:	factor of 1.8; Oxygen >30% ASV ¹ ; pH 6-9
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	250 ml, 6cm diameter
Test chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Reconstituted water
Water volume:	Approx. 6 cm depth
Water quality	Temperature, dissolved oxygen, air supply, pH, total water
measurements:	hardness, total ammonia
Sediment source:	Artificial or natural; food added prior to dosing; 2% TOC ²
Sediment volume:	1.5-3.0 cm deep; 1:4 sediment:water depth ratio; 43g sediment (dry
	weight) per 10 worms
Temperature (°C):	20 + 2
Illuminance (lux)	100-500
Photoperiod	16I ·8D
¹ ASV Air Saturation Value	

²Total Organic Carbon

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

USEPA Ecological Effects	Test Guidelines: OPPTS 850.1790 Chironomid sediment toxicity
Reference:	
Tost spacios	
Species	Chironomus tantons C rinorius
Species lesteu.	Chilonomus lenians, C. ripanus
	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms.	Second Instal(< 10 days)
Acclimation time:	4 days
Acclimation conditions:	100% dilution water
Test design	
Test type:	Flow through
Test duration (days): Exposure scenario:	 aqueous exposure test, minimal sediment, water spiked sediment-water test, sediment present, sediment spiked interstitial exposure test, sediment present, water spiked
Endpoints:	Mortality, growth (wet weight), bioconcentration factors
Effects:	LC50, EC50, concentration response curves, MATC, NOEC, LOEC
No. treatments:	5
Replicates per	2
treatment:	
Organisms per	15
replicate:	
Feeding :	yes
Aeration or additional substrate:	Aeration if required
Test acceptability criteria:	<20% control mortality, dissolved oxygen >60% in test solutions,
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	1-5.7 litre
Test chamber material:	Glass or borosilicate glass
Water source:	Any water conforming to prescribed chemical characteristics
Water volume:	< 30 chironomids per litre per day in the flow-through test system
Water quality	Dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, test substance concentrations,
measurements:	
Sediment source:	Natural sediments with 1-15% organic carbon; sieved to remove large particles; described by particle size and chemical
	characteristics
Sediment volume:	1. <2 mm
	2. 4-6 cm with varying amounts of organic carbon
Temperature (°C):	20 \pm 1°C for <i>C. tentans</i> ; 22 \pm 1°C for <i>C. riparius</i>
Illuminance (lux):	-
Photoperiod:	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

APHA Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Section 8700:		
Aquatic insects – Hexage	nia sp.	
Reference:	(Eaton et al. 2005)	
Test species		
Species tested:	Hexagenia bilineata, H. limbata, H. rigida (Mayfly) Alternative test species: Stoneflies (Plecoptera): Pteronarcys dorsata, P. californica, Hesperoperla lycorias, H. pacifica; Mayflies (Ephemeroptera): Ephemerella subvaria; Caddisflies (Trichoptera): Brachycentrus americanus, B. occidentalis, Clistoronia magnifica	
Source of organisms:	Cultures where possible, otherwise collected from clean natural waters	
Age of organisms: Acclimation time:	Early instars for lethality and growth, late instars for emergence > 7 d	
Acclimation conditions:	Flowing water, test temperature, stone substrate, 3-5 cm layer of unsterilised mud, material for larval and pupal cases. <i>Pteronarcys</i> sp, <i>Ephemerella</i> sp. coarse chopped maple, birch or aspen leaves; <i>Hexagenia</i> sp. finely ground leaves and fish food;	
Test design		
Test type:	Flow through or static with airstones to provide movement.	
Test duration (days):	4-7 d survival, 5-60 d growth and survival, 30-90 d emergence tests or full life-cycle,	
Endpoints:	Mortality, growth (length, weight, head capsule width), emergence (emergence, incomplete emergence, sex ratio), no. of mature eggs	
Effects:	-	
No. treatments:	-	
Replicates per	-	
treatment:		
Organisms per replicate:	50 larvae, 200 eggs	
Feeding :	-	
Aeration or additional substrate:	Fine mesh stainless steel screens formed into cylinders or cubes, 10-15 cm ² per insect. Sticks or stones protruding from water for emergence tests.	
Test acceptability	-	
criteria:		
Test conditions		
Test chamber size:	8 or 20 litre aquariums for quiet-water species (inc. <i>Hexagenia</i> sp.), 90cm long troughs for riffle species.	
Test chamber material: Water source:	Glass, stainless steel, epoxy painted troughs	
Water volume:	8-20 cm deep	
Water quality	-	
measurements:		
Sediment source:	Organic ooze with similar characteristics to source site	
Sediment volume:	4-5 cm	
Temperature (°C):	10-20	
Illuminance (lux):	-	
Photoperiod:	Natural photoperiod for locality. Increase day length 0.5 hours every 2 weeks in emergence tests. Most species are univoltine, emergence tests should start not later than March 1 st .	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

APHA Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Section 8700:			
Aquatic insects – chironomid			
Reference:	(Eaton et al. 2005)		
Test species			
Species tested:	Chironomus sp.		
Source of organisms:	Laboratory cultures		
Age of organisms:	1 st instar, <24 h old		
Acclimation time:	-		
Acclimation conditions:	-		
Test design			
Test type:	Flow through, 2 L/h		
Test duration (days):	Short-term survival, 30 d emergence tests		
Endpoints:	Mortality, emergence (emergence, pupal cases, sex ratio),		
·	hatchability, F1 survival reared to adulthood.		
Effects:	-		
No. treatments:	-		
Replicates per	-		
treatment:			
Organisms per	-		
replicate:			
Feeding :	Yes, during 30 d emergence test		
Aeration or additional	Sticks or stones protruding from water for emergence tests.		
substrate:	gggg		
Test acceptability	-		
criteria:			
Test conditions	-		
Test chamber size:	20 litre aquariums		
Test chamber material:	Glass, stainless steel		
Water source:	-		
Water volume:	-		
Water quality	-		
measurements:			
Sediment source:	Mud or powdered dry cereal grass		
Sediment volume:	-		
Temperature (°C):	25 + 1		
Illuminance (lux).	-		
Photoperiod:	Natural photoperiod for locality. Increase day length 0.5 hours every		
	2 weeks in emergence tests. Most species are univoltine.		
	emergence tests should start not later than March 1 st .		

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

USEPA Ecological Effects Test Guidelines: OPPTS 850.1735 Whole sediment acute toxicity			
invertebrates, freshwater			
Reference:	(USEPA 2000)		
Test species			
Species tested:	Chironomus tentans		
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture		
Age of organisms:	1 d (<24 h)		
Acclimation time:	-		
Acclimation conditions:	-		
Test design			
Test type:	Flow-through or intermittent flow, application to sediment		
Test duration (days):	50-65 d		
Exposure scenario:			
Endpoints:	20 d survival and weight, emergence, sex ratio, adult mortality, no.		
	egg cases laid, no. eggs produced, no. hatched eggs		
Effects:	-		
No. treatments:	-		
Replicates per	16		
treatment:			
Organisms per	12		
replicate:			
Feeding :	Yes		
Aeration or additional	Dissolved oxygen maintained at >2.5 mg/L		
substrate:			
Test acceptability	C. tentans in control at 20 d >0.6 mg/surviving organism dry weight,		
criteria:	emergence ≥ 50%, mean number eggs/egg case ≥800, percent		
	hatch ≥ 80%		
Test conditions			
Test chamber size:	300 ml		
Test chamber material:	-		
Water source:	-		
Water volume:	175 ml		
Water quality	Hardness, alkalinity, conductivity, ammonia, temperature, dissolved		
measurements:	oxygen, pH,		
Sediment source:	-		
Sediment volume:	100 ml		
Temperature (°C):	23 ± 1		
Illuminance (lux):	100-1000		
Photoperiod	16L:8D		

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

APHA Standard methods Rotifers	for the examination of water and wastewater. Section 8420:
Reference:	(Eaton et al. 2005)
Test species	
Species tested:	Brachionus calyciflorus (Rotifer). Alternative test species are Brachionusrubens; Brachionuspatulus; Asplancha brightwelli; Philodina roseola; Philodina acutiocornis
Source of organisms:	Hatched from cysts
Age of organisms:	<2 hours
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Static
Test duration (days):	2 d (2 generations)
Endpoints:	Mortality, reproduction
Effects:	LC50, EC50, NOEC, LOEC
No. treatments:	5
Replicates per	5
treatment:	
Organisms per	6
replicate:	
Feeding :	Nannochloris oculata monoculture or Selenastrum capricornutum/Chlorella vulgaris mix.
Aeration or additional	None
substrate:	
Test acceptability	Control r at least 0.7 (minimum accepted population growth rate)
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	Borosilicate glass test tubes
Test chamber material:	·
Water source:	Artificial water
Water volume:	12 ml
Water quality	-
measurements:	
Temperature (°C):	25°C
Illuminance (lux):	Dark
Photoperiod	0L:24D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

APHA Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Section 8510E:			
Sediment test procedures	using freshwater and marine oligochaetes Pritina leidyi, Tuifex		
tubifex, and Lumbriculus	variegatus		
Reference:	(Eaton et al. 2005)		
Test species			
Species tested:	Tubificidae (<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri; Tubifex tubifex; Branchiura</i> sowerbyi), Lumbriculidae (<i>Stylodrilus heringianus; Lumbriculus</i> variegatus)		
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture from population at uncontaminated site		
Age of organisms.	Mixeu age		
Acclimation anditional	-		
Acclimation conditions.	-		
	Chatia renewal		
Test type:			
Test duration (days):			
Ellects:	LC30, L150		
No. treatments:	-		
Replicates per	-		
treatment:			
Organisms per	5 <i>T. Tublitex</i> , 10 <i>L. variegatus,</i> density below 0.5 g/L		
replicate:			
Feeding :	None		
Aeration or additional substrate:	-		
Test acceptability	-		
criteria:			
Test conditions			
Test chamber size:	250 ml		
Test chamber material:	-		
Water source:	Synthetic or natural water		
Water volume:	100 ml		
Water quality	-		
measurements:			
Sediment source:	Natural sediments		
Sediment volume:	-		
Temperature (°C):	20 – 25 °C		
Illuminance (lux):	550 – 1100		
Photoperiod:	16L:8D		

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Appendix 2: Methods in use in contract and research laboratories

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract resea	arch
organisations	

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Chaoborus obscuripes	Chironomus riparius	Chironomus riparius
Family	Chaoboridae (Diptera)	Chironomidae (Diptera)	Chironomidae
			(Diptera)
Common name	phantom midge	Bloodworm	Freshwater midge
Source of organisms	Mesocosm	In-house stock	In-house stock
Life stage	Larvae	Larvae	1st instar
Size of organisms	-	13 d	<1 cm
Acclimation period	3-4 d	13 d	egg ropes transferred in test water
Exposure regime	static	Semi-static (daily renewal)	Static
Test medium	filtered natural water	Purified drinking water (OECD-Guideline 202).	Reconstituted water according to OECD 211 (M7-Medium)
Include sediment	no	No	No
Organisms fed	no	No	Yes, <i>Scenedesmus</i> <i>subspicatus</i> , Tetra Min fish food in 48 h test
Aeration	no	No	No
Temperature range (°C)	18-21	20.0 ± 2°C	18-22
Light intensity (Lux)	-	250 - 300	520-690
pH range	6.6-8.5	8.1-8.3	7.6-7.9
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	7-10.2	7.6 mg/L - 8.5 mg/L	7.9-8.4
Other environmental parameters	-	-	-
Photoperiod	14-16L:8-10D	16L:8D	16L:8D
Range-finding		Yes	Yes
No. concentrations	6	5	5
Replicates/level	2-3	4	4
No. controls	2-4	4	5
No. organisms/replicate	-	5	5
Test duration (days)	4	2	1 or 2
No. GLP compliant tests conducted		1-3	>10
No. non-GLP compliant tests conducted	1-3	None	>10
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	Immobility	Immobility
Statistical output	-	ECx, LOEC, NOEC	EC50, NOEC, EC100
Suggested reference substance	-	-	-
Validity criteria	80% survival in controls	control immobility <10 %, no pathological symptoms, no abnormal behaviour, oxygen content >3 mg/L for whole test	Immobility < 10% in the control
Additional comments	no dose-response observed in range finder	-	Based on OECD 202, and 92/69/EEC

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract and res	earch
laboratories contd.	

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Endochironomus	Glyptotendipes sp.	Macropelopia sp.
	albipennis		
Family	Chironomidae	Chironomidae	Chironomidae
	(Diptera)	(Diptera)	(Diptera)
Common name	phantom midge	phantom midge	phantom midge
Source of	Mesocosm	Mesocosm	Mesocosm
organisms	1		
Life stage	Larvae		Larvae
Size of organisms	<1 cm	1-3 cm	-
Acclimation period	-	-	-
Exposure regime	Static	Static	Static
	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range (°C)	20.6-21.1	20.6-21.1	20 ± 0.9
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-
pH range	7.2-7.5	7.2-7.5	7.4-7.8
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	88.7	88.7	7.0-7.8
Other	-	-	-
environmental			
parameters			
Photoperiod	14L:10D	14L:10D	14L:10D
Range-finding	-	-	-
No. concentrations	6	6	6
Replicates/level	2	2	2
No. controls	4	4	2
No.	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
Test duration	4 d	4 d	4 d
(days)			
No. GLP compliant	-	-	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1	1	1
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
endpoints			
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested	-	-	-
reference			
substance			
Validity criteria	80% survival in	80% survival in	80% survival in
	controls	controls	controls
Additional	-	-	-
comments			

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Culex sp.	Cloeon dipterum	Caenis horaria
Family	Culicidae (Diptera)	Baetidae	Caenidae
		(Ephemeroptera)	(Ephemeroptera)
Common name	-	mayfly	mayfly
Source of	Rainwater collector	Mesocosm	Mesocosm
organisms			
Life stage	Larvae	Larvae	Larvae
Size of organisms	-	<1 cm	<1 cm
Acclimation period	3 d	3-4 d	-
Exposure regime	static	static	static
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range	-	18.5-20.9	21-23.4
(°C)			
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-
pH range	6.6-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.9-8.0
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	7-9.0	7.0-9.4	6.4-8.9
Other	-	-	-
environmental			
parameters			441-405
Photoperiod	14-16L:8-10D	14-16L:8-10D	14L:10D
Range-finding	-	-	-
No. concentrations	6	6	6
Replicates/level	3	2-3	2
No. controls	3	2-4	2
No	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
lest duration (days)	4 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	1-3	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1	4-6	1-3
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
endpoints			
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested	-	-	-
reference			
substance			
Validity criteria	-	80% survival in controls	80% survival in controls
Additional	Limit test (7 d) also	Limit test (7 d) also	-
comments	conducted with	conducted with	
	uptake/elimination as	uptake/elimination as	
	endpoints	endpoints	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Serratella ignita	Haproleptoides	Hydropsyche sp.
		confusa/lauta	
Family	Ephemerellidae	Leptophlebiidae	Hydropsychidae
-	(Ephemeroptera)	(Ephemeroptera)	(Trichoptera)
Common name	-	-	Caddisfly
Source of organisms	Field collected	Field collected	Field collected
Life stage	Larvae	Larvae	Juvenile
Size of organisms	3-6 cm	3-6 cm	11.1-12.1 mm
Acclimation period	1-3 d	1-3 d	5 d
Exposure regime	Static	Static	Semi-static (daily renewal)
Test medium	Synthetic (M4 Elendt)	Synthetic (M4 Elendt)	Purified drinking water (OECD- Guideline 202).
Include sediment	No	No	No
Organisms fed	No	No	No
Aeration	Yes	Yes	No
Temperature range (°C)	7-15	7-14	12.5 ± 2°C
Light intensity (Lux)	1000-4000	1000-4000	250 - 300
pH range	7-8	7-8	7.3 - 8.3
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	>8 mg/L	> 8 mg/L	7.6 mg/L - 10.7 mg/L
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	16L:8D	16L:8D	16L:8D
Range-finding	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. concentrations	5	5	5
Replicates/level	4	4	4
No. controls	4	4	4
No.	5	5	4
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	-	1-3
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP compliant tests conducted	1-3	1-3	None
Measured endpoints	Mortality	Mortality	Immobility
Statistical output	EC50	EC50	ECx, LOEC. NOEC
Suggested reference	-	-	-
substance			
Validity criteria	-	-	control immobility <10 %, no pathological symptoms, no abnormal behaviour, oxygen content >3 mg/L for whole test
Additional comments	-	-	-

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract and research	١
laboratories contd.	

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Molanna angustata	Sigara striata	Paraponix stratiotata
Family	Molannidae	Corixidae	Pyralidae
	(Trichoptera)	(Hemiptera)	(Lepidoptera)
Common name		water boatman	
Source of organisms	Field collected	Mesocosm	Mesocosm
Life stage	Larvae	Adult	Larvae
Size of organisms	-	-	-
Acclimation period	3 d	-	3 d
Exposure regime	static	static	static
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range		20 ± 0.7	18.3-19.5
(°C)			
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-
pH range	6.6-8.5	6.8-8.1	6.6-8.5
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	7-9.0	7.2-9.8	7-9.0
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	14-16L:8-10D	14L:10D	14-16L:8-10D
Range-finding	-	-	-
No. concentrations	6	6	6
Replicates/level	3	2	2
No. controls	3	2-4	2-4
No.	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	1 (Corixa punctata)	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1	1	1-3
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested reference substance	-	-	-
Validity criteria	-	80% survival in	80% survival in
Additional comments	-	-	Limit test (7 d) also
			conducted with
			uptake/elimination
			as endpoints

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract and res	search
laboratories contd.	

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Sialis lutaria	Erythromma	Anax imperator
		viridulum	
Family	Sialidae (Megaloptera)	Coenagrionidae	Aeshnidae
-		(Odonata)	(Odonata)
Common name	alderfly	damselfly	
Source of organisms	Mesocosm	Mesocosm	Mesocosm
Life stage	Larvae	Larvae	Larvae
Size of organisms	-	-	-
Acclimation period	3 d		3 d
Exposure regime	static	static	static
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range (°C)	20 ± 0.5	22 ± 1.0	-
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-
pH range	6.6-8.5	7.1-7.7	6.6-8.5
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	6.7-9.0	5.6-7.8	7-9.0
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	14-16L:8-10D	14L:10D	14-16L:8-10D
Range-finding	-	-	-
No. concentrations	6	6	6
Replicates/level	2-10	2	3
No. controls	2-20	2	3
No.	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	-	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1-3	1	1
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested reference	-	-	-
substance			
Validity criteria	80% survival in controls	80% survival in controls	-
Additional comments	Limit test (7 d) also	-	Limit test (7 d) also
	conducted with		conducted with
	uptake/elimination as		uptake/elimination
	endpoints		as endpoints

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Notonecta maculata	Notonecta maculata	Notonecta maculata
Family	Notonectidae	Notonectidae	Notonectidae
	(Hemiptera)	(Hemiptera)	(Hemiptera)
Common name	Backswimmer	Backswimmer	
Source of organisms	In-house stock	Mesocosm	Mesocosm
Life stage	Larvae	Juvenile	Adult
Size of organisms	Larval development in	5th instar, 8.1 - 11.7	-
5	5 instars, 3-15 mm	mm	
Acclimation period	None	2 d	3 d
Exposure regime	Static	Semi-static (daily	static
		renewal)	
Test medium	Synthetic (M4 Elendt)	Purified drinking	filtered natural water
		water (OECD-	
		Guideline 202).	
Include sediment	No	No	no
Organisms fed	No	No	no
Aeration	No	No	no
Temperature range	20	18.0 ± 2°C	-
(°C)			
Light intensity (Lux)	500-700	250 - 300	
pH range	7-8	7.6 - 8.3	6.6-8.5
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	> 8 mg/L	7.7 mg/L - 11.4 mg/L	7-9.0
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	16L:8D	16L:8D	14-16L:8-10D
Range-finding	Yes	Yes	-
No. concentrations	5	5	6
Replicates/level	>5	>5	4
No. controls	>5	>5	4
No.	1	1	
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	2 d	2 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	none, up to now used	1-3	1-3
tests conducted	in ecological studies		
No. non-GLP	none, up to now used	None	1-3
compliant tests	in ecological studies		
conducted			
Measured endpoints	Mortality	Immobility	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	EC50	ECx, LOEC, NOEC	
Suggested reference	-	-	-
substance			
Validity criteria	-	Low control	-
		immobility (max. 2	
		specimens), no	
		pathological	
		symptoms and	
		abnormal behaviour,	
		oxygen content >3	
		mg/L for whole test	
Additional comments	no natching during	-	LIMIT TEST (/ d) also
	ехрепшені		uptako/olimination
			uplake/elimination
			as enupoints

"The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water	only test systems in	contract and research
laboratories contd.		

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Plea minutissima	Ranatra linearis	Bithynia tentaculata
Family	Pleidae (Hemiptera)	Nepidae (Hemiptera)	Bithyniidae
			(Gastropoda)
Common name	-	-	freshwater snail
Source of organisms	Mesocosm	Mesocosm	Field collected
Life stage	Adult	Adult	Adult
Size of organisms	-	-	-
Acclimation period	3-4 d	3 d	-
Exposure regime	static	static	static
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range	-	-	18.3-20.4
(°C)			
nH range	- 6.6-8.5	- 66-85	-
	7.9.0	7 0 0	- 63110
Other environmental	7-9.0	7-9.0	0.5-11.0
narameters	-	-	-
Photoperiod			141.100
Range-finding	no	14-102.0-100	14L.10D
No concentrations	6	6	6
Renlicates/level	3	2	2
No controls	3	2	2
No. controis	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	-	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1-3	1	1-3
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested reference	-	-	-
substance			
Validity criteria	-	-	80% survival in
Additional commercia	Limit toot (7 d) also	Limit toot (7 d) alac	Not good toot due to
	conducted with	conducted with	closing of operculum
	untake/elimination as	untake/elimination as	crosing of opercululi
	endnoints	endnoints	
	спаронна	chupolina	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Lymnaea stagnalis	Lymnaea stagnalis	Physa fontinalis
Family	Lymnaeidae	Lymnaeidae	Physidae
	(Gastropoda)	(Gastropoda)	(Gastropoda)
Common name	Freshwater snail	freshwater snail	freshwater snail
Source of organisms	In-house stock	Mesocosm	Field collected
Life stage	Juvenile	Adult	Adult
Size of organisms	1-3 cm	1-3 cm	<1 cm
Acclimation period	1-3 d	-	-
Exposure regime	Static	static	static
Test medium	Reconstituted test water according to OECD 202 (ISO - Medium)	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	No	no	no
Organisms fed	No	no	no
Aeration	No	no	no
Temperature range (°C)	23	18.6-21.1	20.3 ± 1.2
Light intensity (Lux)	320	-	-
pH range	6.6-8.0	6.7-8.0	7.7-8.1
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	5.0-8.6	0.2-9.1	6.1-8.9
Other environmental parameters	-	-	-
Photoperiod	16L:8D	14L:10D	14L:10D
Range-finding	Yes	-	-
No. concentrations	1 (Limit test)	4-6	6
Replicates/level	4	2	2
No. controls	4	2-4	2-4
No. organisms/replicate	5	-	-
Test duration (days)	2 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	1-3	-	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP compliant tests conducted	1-3	1-3	1
Measured endpoints	Mortality, immobility	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	EC50, NOEC, EC100	-	-
Suggested reference substance	-	-	-
Validity criteria	Immobility <10% in the control; dissolved 0_2 >60% at end of test	80% survival in controls	80% survival in controls
Additional comments	Test is based on OECD 202, and Commission Directive 92/69/EEC	Low DO levels due to faeces in medium, no apparent effect on test animals	-

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Planorbarius corneus	Planorbis contortis	Melanoides
-			auberculata
Family	Planorbidae	Planorbidae	Thiaridae (Gastropoda)
	(Gastropoda)	(Gastropoda)	
Common name	great ramshorn	freshwater snail	Freshwater snail
Source of organisms	In-house stock	Field collected	Commercial supplier
Life stage	Juvenile	Adult	Juvenile
Size of organisms	<1 cm	<1 cm	1-3 cm
Acclimation period	>21 d	3 d	1-3 d
Exposure regime	Semi-static (daily renewal)	static	Static
Test medium	Purified drinking water (OECD-Guideline 202).	filtered natural water	Reconstituted test water according to OECD 202 (ISO - Medium)
Include sediment	No	no	No
Organisms fed	No	no	No
Aeration	No	no	No
Temperature range (°C)	20.0 ± 2°C	18.8-21.4	20-21
Light intensity (Lux)	250 - 300		570-740
pH range	7.8 - 8.4	7.82-8.09	7.7 - 8.2
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	4.9 mg/L - 8.2 mg/L	8.0-8.8	7.1 - 9.2
Other environmental parameters	-	-	-
Photoperiod	16L:8D	14L:10D	16L:8D
Range-finding	Yes		Yes
No. concentrations	5	6	5
Replicates/level	4	2	4
No. controls	4	4	4
No.	4	-	5
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	4 d	2 d
No. GLP compliant tests conducted	1-3		1-3
No. non-GLP compliant tests conducted	None	1	1-3
Measured endpoints	Immobility for 24 h post-exposure.	behaviour/mortality	Mortality, immobility
Statistical output	ECx, LOEC, NOEC	-	EC50, NOEC, EC100
Suggested reference substance			
Validity criteria	control immobility <10 %, no pathological symptoms, no abnormal behavior, oxygen content >3 mg/L for whole test	80% survival in controls	Immobility <10% in controls, dissolved O ₂ > 3 mg/L
Additional comments	-	-	Test is based on OECD 202, and Commission Directive 92/69/EEC

"The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Sphaerium sp.	Dero digitata,	Lumbriculus
		Stylaria lacustris	variegatus
Family	Sphaeridae (Bivalvia)	Oligochaeta	Oligochaeta
Common name	-	-	-
Source of organisms	Field collected	Mesocosm	Field collected
Life stage	Adult	Adult	Adult
Size of organisms	<1 cm	-	3-6 cm
Acclimation period	-	-	-
Exposure regime	static	static	static
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range	20.3 ± 0.8	-	20.0 ± 1.0
(°C)			
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-
pH range	7.4-8.1	-	7.8-7.9
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	7.7-8.8	-	8.3-8.3
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	14L:10D	-	14L:10D
Range-finding	-	-	-
No. concentrations	6	-	6
Replicates/level	2	-	2
No. controls	2	-	2-4
No.	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	-	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	-	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1-3	1	1-3
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested reference	-	-	-
substance			
Validity criteria	80% survival in	-	80% survival in
	controls		controls
Additional comments	-	-	-

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract and research laboratories contd.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.1: Acute toxicity tests in water	only test systems in contract and research
laboratories contd.	

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	<i>Tubifex</i> sp.	Dugesia sp./lugubris, Polycelis nigra/tenuis	<i>Erpobdella</i> sp.
Family	Oligochaeta	Turbellaria	Hirudinea
Common name	-	Flatworm	leech
Source of organisms	Commercial supplier	Field collected	Mesocosm
Life stage	Adult	Adult	Juvenile
Size of organisms	<1 cm	-	1-3 cm
Acclimation period	-	-	-
Exposure regime	static	static	static
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water
Include sediment	no	no	no
Organisms fed	no	no	no
Aeration	no	no	no
Temperature range (°C)	20.6-21.1	18.7-21.1	20.0 ± 0.7
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-
pH range	7.7-7.8	7.4-8.2	7.7-8.2
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	8.4-8.8	8.4-11.2	8.3-9.0
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	14L:10D	14L:10D	14L:10D
Range-finding	-	-	-
No. concentrations	6	4-6	6
Replicates/level	2	2	2
No. controls	2-4	2-4	2-4
No.	-	-	-
organisms/replicate			
Test duration (days)	4 d	4 d	4 d
No. GLP compliant	-	-	-
tests conducted			
No. non-GLP	1-3	1-3	1-3
compliant tests			
conducted			
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality	behaviour/mortality
Statistical output	-	-	-
Suggested reference	-	-	-
substance			
Validity criteria	80% survival in	80% survival in	80% survival in
	controls	controls	controls
Additional comments	-	-	-

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response
Species	Brachionus	Brachionus
	calyciflorus	calyciflorus
Family	Rotifer	Rotifer
Common name	-	-
Source of organisms	Laboratory culture	raised from resting
		eggs of a test kit
Life stage	Egg hatching	Newly hatched cysts,
		2 h 0ld
Size of organisms	-	
	-	<24 () Statia
Toot modium	filtered petural water	Sidlic Decentituted water
rest medium	Intered natural water	
Include sediment	no	
Organisms fed	no	No
Aeration	no	No
Temperature range	-	25
(°C)		20
Light intensity (Lux)	-	Dark
pH range	-	7.9-8.1
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	-	8.7 - 9.0
Other environmental	-	-
parameters		
Photoperiod	14L:10D	0L:24D
Range-finding	-	Yes
No. concentrations	6	5
Replicates/level	2	5
No. controls	2	5
No.	-	5
organisms/replicate	4 -1	4 -1
Test duration (days)	4 0	10
No. GLP compliant	-	1-3
	1	1.2
compliant tests	1	1-5
conducted		
Measured endpoints	behaviour/mortality	Immobility
Statistical output	-	EC50 NOEC
Claudioul Calput		EC100
Suggested reference	-	-
substance		
Validity criteria	80% survival in	Immobility < 10% in
	controls	the control
Additional comments	-	Based on OECD
		202, 92/69/EECand
		commercial test kit

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.2: Acute toxicity tests in sediment:water test systems in contract and research	ì
laboratories	

Parameter	Response
Species	Ephemera danica
Family	Insecta, Ephemeroptera
Common name	Mayfly
Source of	Field collected
organisms	
Life stage	Larvae
Size of organisms	<1cm
Acclimation period	5 d
Exposure regime	Semi-static (daily
	renewal)
Test medium	Purified drinking water
	(OECD-Guideline 202).
Include sediment	Yes, quartz sand layer
	of 2 mm
Organisms fed	No
Aeration	Yes
Temperature range	12.5 ± 2°C
(°C)	
Light intensity (Lux)	250 – 300
pH range	7.8 – 8.7
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	7.5 mg/L - 11.3 mg/L.
Other	-
environmental	
parameters	
Photoperiod	16L:8D
Range-finding	Yes
No. concentrations	5
Replicates/level	4
No. controls	4
No.	4
organisms/replicate	
lest duration	4 d
(days)	
No. GLP compliant	1-3
tests conducted	News
NO. NON-GLP	None
compliant tests	
ondnointo	Inimobility
Statistical output	
Statistical Output	ECX, LOEC, NOEC
roforonco	-
substance	
Validity criteria	control immobility <10
valuity chiena	% no nathological
	symptoms no abnormal
	behavior, oxygen
	content >3 ma/L for
	whole test
Additional	-
comments	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

rarameter Response Response Response		
Species Chaoborus crystallinus Chaoborus Dicrotendipe	Dicrotendipes sp.	
obscuripes	-	
Family Chaoboridae Chaoboridae Chironomida	ae	
Common name Phantom midge phantom midge phantom mid	dge	
Source of organisms Field collected and In- Mesocosm Commercial	supplier	
house stock		
Life stage 1 st instar Larvae Larvae		
Size of organisms		
Acclimation period Freshly hatched 3-4 d 4 d		
Exposure regime Semi-static (4-10 d static static		
renewal)		
Test medium Synthetic (M4 Elendt) filtered natural water filtered natur	al water	
Include sediment No no no		
Organisms fed Yes (Rotifer, Bosmina yes, in GLP test yes		
and juvenile daphnids)		
Aeration No no no		
Temperature range 20 18-21 18.6-19.4		
(3°)		
Light intensity (Lux) 300-500		
pH range 7-8.5 6.6-8.5 7.3-8.0		
Dissolved 0_2 range > 6 mg/L 8 8.9-9.1		
Other environmental		
parameters		
Photoperiod 16L:8D		
Range-finding No yes, in GLP test yes		
No. concentrations41-88		
Replicates/level101-51		
No. controls 20 2-5 2		
No. 1		
organisms/replicate		
Test duration (days)30-90 d (until7 d7 d		
emergence or death)		
No. GLP compliant - 1-3 1		
tests conducted		
No. non-GLP 1-3 1-3 -		
compliant tests		
	1 11	
Measured endpoints mortality, growth, behaviour/mortality behaviour/m	ortality	
moulting, pupation,		
emergence,		
Statistical output NOEC, ECOV		
Valiulity Unitelia		
endnoints		

A2.3: Chronic toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract and research laboratories

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response	
Species	Cloeon dipterum Coenagrionidae		Plea minutissima	
Family	Ephemeroptera Odonata		Pleidae (Hemiptera)	
Common name	mayfly	-	-	
Source of organisms	Mesocosm	Mesocosm	Mesocosm	
Life stage	Larvae	Larvae	Adult	
Size of organisms	-	-	-	
Acclimation period	3-4 d	4 d	3-4 d	
Exposure regime	static	static	static	
Test medium	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	filtered natural water	
Include sediment	no	no	no	
Organisms fed	yes, in GLP study	yes	yes in GLP test	
Aeration	no	no	no	
Temperature range	19.6-20.5	18.6-20.1	19.5-20.8	
(°C)				
Light intensity (Lux)	-	-	-	
pH range	7.5-8.5	7.5-8.0	7.1-8.0	
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	7.9-9.0	8.9-9.0	8.8-9.1	
Other environmental	-	-	-	
parameters				
Photoperiod	-	-	-	
Range-finding	yes	yes	yes	
No. concentrations	8	8	8	
Replicates/level	1	1	1	
No. controls	2	2	2	
No.	-	-	-	
organisms/replicate				
Test duration (days)	7 d	7 d	7 d	
No. GLP compliant	1-3	1	1-3	
tests conducted				
No. non-GLP	-	-	-	
compliant tests				
conducted				
Measured endpoints	-	behaviour/mortality	-	
Statistical output	-	-	-	
Suggested reference	-	-	-	
substance				
Validity criteria	80% survival in	-	-	
	controls			
Additional comments	Limit test (7 d) also	-	Limit test (7 d) also	
	conducted with		conducted with	
	uptake/elimination		uptake/elimination	
	as endpoints		as endpoints	

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

2.3: Chronic toxicity tests in water only test systems in contract and research	h
aboratories contd.	

Parameter	Response	Response
Species	Lymnea stagnalis	Sphaerium sp.
Family	Lymnaeidae	Sphaeridae
		(Bivalvia)
Common name	-	-
Source of organisms	In-house stock	Field collected
Life stage	Juvenile and adults	Adult
Size of organisms	juveiles 1.5-2.0 cm,	<1 cm
	adults 3.5-4.0 cm	
Acclimation period	3-7 d	-
Exposure regime	Semi-static, renewal	static
T ()	every 3-4 d	
l est medium	Synthetic	filtered natural water
Include sediment	NO	no
Organisms fed	Yes, fresh salad	no
	Teaves and	
Acretice	Tetraphyli	
Tomporatura range	20.21	
	20-21	10.4-19.7
light intensity (Lux)	180 500	
	7 1_7 9	7 73-8 28
Dissolved 0. range	>6 mg/l	6.9-8.7
Other environmental		-
narameters		
Photoperiod	16L-8D	14L-10D
Range-finding	Yes	-
No. concentrations	>5	6
Replicates/level	4	2
No. controls	4	4
No.	5	-
organisms/replicate		
Test duration (days)	28 d	7 d
No. GLP compliant	1-3	-
tests conducted		
No. non-GLP	1-3	1-3
compliant tests		
conducted		
Measured endpoints	survival, growth,	-
	reproduction, fertility,	
	natching rate of	
Statistical autout	eggs	
		-
	-	-
Validity criteria		80% survival in
	-	controls
Additional comments	-	Limit test (7 d) also
		conducted with
		uptake/elimination
		as endpoints

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Parameter	Response	Response	Response	
Species	Haprophlebia lauta	Serratella ignita	Sericostoma sp.	
Family	Leptophlebiidae	Ephemerelllidae	Sericostomatidae	
	(Ephemeroptera)	(Ephemeroptera)		
Common name	-	-	-	
Source of organisms	Field collected	Field collected	Field collected	
Life stage	Larvae	Larvae	Larvae	
Size of organisms	3-6 cm	3-6 cm	3-6 cm	
Acclimation period	1-3 d	1-3 d	1-3 d	
Exposure regime	Semi-static	Semi-static	Semi-static	
Test medium	Synthetic (M4 Elendt)	Synthetic (M4	Synthetic (M4	
		Elendt)	Elendt)	
Include sediment	Yes, stones	Yes, stones	Yes, sand	
Organisms fed	Yes, stones with	Yes, stones with	Yes, leaves	
	periphyton	periphyton		
Aeration	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Temperature range	7-15	7-15	15	
(°C)	1000	4000	1000 1000	
	4000	4000	1000-4000	
pH range	7-8	/-8	/-8	
Dissolved U ₂ range	> 8 mg/L	>8 mg/L	> 8 mg/L	
Other environmental	-	-	-	
Destonariad	161.90			
Prioloperiou Dongo finding	TOL.OD	TOL.OD	TOL.OD	
No concentrations		165	165	
Poplicatos/loval	1	1	1	
No controls	1	1	1	
No. Controis	4	4	5	
organisms/replicate	10	10	5	
Test duration (days)	56 d (until emergence)	28 d (until	L Intil emergence	
		emergence)	onta emergenee	
No. GLP compliant	-	-	-	
tests conducted				
No. non-GLP	1-3	1-3	1-3	
compliant tests				
conducted				
Measured endpoints	Mortality, emergence	mortality, emergence	Mortality	
	characteristics, sex	characteristics, sex	5	
	ratio	ratio		
Statistical output	EC50	EC50	EC50	
Suggested reference	-	-	-	
substance				
Validity criteria	-	-	-	
Additional comments	-	-	-	

A2.3: Chronic toxicity tests in sediment:water test systems in contract and research laboratories

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

A2.3: Chronic toxicity tests in sediment:water test systems in contract and
research laboratories contd.

Parameter	Response	Response	Response
Species	Chironomus riparius	Chironomus riparius	Lumbriculus
			variegatus
Family	Chironomidae	Chironomidae	Lumbriculidae
Common name	Midge	non biting midge	Blackworm
Source of organisms	Commercial supplier	In-house stock	In-house stock
Life stage	1 st instar	Larvae	Adult
Size of organisms	<1 cm	<1 cm	1-3 cm
Acclimation period	7-14 d in sediment	>21 d	14- 21 d
Exposure regime	Static	Static	Static
Test medium	Synthetic sediment reconstituted water	Synthetic medium (ASTM)	Synthetic sediment
Include sediment	Mixture of course sand, kaolin clay and peat	OECD 218 and 219 sediment	Peat, calcium carbonate plus sand, kaolin clay and peat
Organisms fed	Yes, powdered nettle leaf incorporated into sediment	Yes, Suspension of flaked fish food. 0.5 mg per larva per	Yes, urtica powder incorporated into sediment
Aeration	Yes	Yes	Yes
Temperature range (°C)	18 – 22	18-22	18 – 22
Light intensity (Lux)	500-1000	500-1000	Artificial daylight
pH range	5-6	6-9	7.5-8.5
Dissolved 0 ₂ range	> 60% ASV	>60% ASV	62 – 96%
Other environmental	-	-	-
parameters			
Photoperiod	16L:8D	16L:8D	16L:8D
Range-finding	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. concentrations	>5	5	5
Replicates/level	4	4	4
No. controls	2	4	1
No. organisms/replicate	20	20	10
Test duration (days)	28 d	28 d	28 d
No. GLP compliant	4-6	>10	1-3
No. non-GLP compliant tests	None	1-3	None
Measured endpoints	dpoints daily and total emergence, sex of organisms and development rate Emergence		survival, total biomass (growth)
Statistical output	EC50, NOEC	EC50	ECx, NOEC
Suggested reference substance	-	-	-
Validity criteria	70% control emergence	as per OECD 218 and 219	-
Additional comments	Radiolabelled test item	-	Radiolabelled test item

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Appendix 3: Methods in peer-reviewed references (first draft)

A3.1: Acute toxicity tests in water only test systems

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Ephemeroptera (Mayfly)				•					
<i>Ameletus</i> sp.	Field pop.	12 mm	No	Mortality	LC50, LC1	Static	4 d	Lake water, stainless steel mesh, quartz rock	(Peterson et al. 2001)
Atalophlebia spp. ¹	Field pop.	<10 mm length				Static with renewal	1-2 d	River	(Hose et al. 2003)
Caenis horaria		Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Caenis miliaria	Field pop.			Mortality	LC50		4 d	Pond	(Beketov 2004)
<i>Cinygmula</i> sp.	Field pop.	Mean length 8.8 mm	-	Mortality	LC50		4 d	Lake water, stainless steel mesh, quartz rock	(Peterson et al. 2001)
Cloeon dipterum	Field pop.			Mortality	LC50		4 d	Pond	(Beketov 2004)
Cloeon dipterum	Field pop.		None		ECx, LCx		4 d	Tap water	(Van Wijngaarden 1993)
Cloeon dipterum	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)
Cloeon dipterum	Field pop.	Nymph	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Reservoir	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 2009)
Cloeon dipterum		Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Epeorus Iongimanus	Field pop.	Early and late instar	-	Mortality	LC50	Static	1-4 d	Ground water	(Alexander et al. 2007)

¹Mixture of two species used

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."
Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Ephemeroptera (Mayfly)									
Epeorus Iongimanus	Field pop.	Early and late instar	Yes	Mortality, feeding inhibition	LC50, EC50	Static	1d	Ground water	(Alexander et al. 2007)
Epheron virgo	Eggs from field pop.	2 d	Yes	Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Artificial	(Van der Geest 2000), (Van Der Geest et al. 2000), (Greve et al. 1999)
Epheron virgo	Eggs from field pop.	2 d	Yes	Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Artificial	``````````````````````````````````````
<i>Hexagenia</i> sp.	Eggs from field pop.			Immobility		Static or recirculating	<4 d	Synthetic substrates	(Fremling & Mauck 1980)
Plecoptera (Stonefly)						Ū			,
Calineuria californica	Field pop.	8.4 mm	No	Mortality	LC50, LT50, LC1	Static	4 d	Lake water, stainless steel mesh, quartz rock	(Peterson et al. 2001)
Pteronarcys dorsata Trichoptera (Caddisfly)	Field pop.	2 or 3 years (>0.2 g)	None	Mortality	LC50	Flow-through	1 h to 4 d	Lake water	(Anderson & Shubat 1984)
Brachycentrus americanus	Field pop.	8.3 mm	No	Mortality	LC50, LT50, LC1	Static	4 d	Lake water, stainless steel mesh, quartz rock	(Peterson et al. 2001)
Cyrnus trimaculatus	Lab culture	2 nd instar	Yes	Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Artificial	(Van Der Geest et al. 2000)

¹ This review only considers the methods for the exposure phase (1 d) and not the recovery phase.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured	Effect	Test	Duration	Water	Reference
Hydropsyche angustipennis	Lab culture	1 st instar	Yes	endpoint Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	design Static	4 d	Artificial	(Stuijfzand et al. 2000), (Greve et al. 1998), (Van Der Geest et al. 1999)
Hydropsyche angustipennis	Lab culture	5 th instar	Yes	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Artificial with glass beads	(Stuijfzand et al. 2000)
Lepidostoma unicolor	Field pop.	8.7 mm	No	Mortality	LC50, LC1	Static	4 d	Lake water, stainless steel mesh, guartz rock	(Peterson et al. 2001)
Notidobia ciliaris	Field pop.	Approx. 3 rd instar	None	Mortality	LC50		1 d ¹	Mesocosm water	(Beketov & Liess 2008)
Psychoglypha sp. Diptera (True flies)	Field pop.	8.3 mm	No	Mortality	LC50, LC1	Static	4 d	Lake water, stainless steel mesh, quartz rock	(Peterson et al. 2001)
Aedes aegypti	Lab culture	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)
Chaoborus crystallinus	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)
Chaoborus obscuripes	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Ability to stay in suspension	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Tap or pond	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 1998)
Chaoborus obscuripes	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Reservoir	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 2009)
Chaoborus obscuripes		Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)

¹This review only considers the methods for the exposure phase (1 d) and not the recovery phase.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Diptera (True flies)									
Chironomini	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Reservoir	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 2009)
Chironomus riparius	Lab culture	1 st instars	Yes	Mortality, immobility, growth	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Artificial	(Stuijfzand et al. 2000)
Chironomus riparius	Lab culture	4 th instar	Yes	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Artificial and glass beads	(Stuijfzand et al. 2000)
Chironomus thummi	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)
Culex pipiens	Lab culture	1 st instar (<24 hours)		Mortality	LC50	Static	1 d ¹	Artificial	(Beketov & Liess 2008)
<i>Macropelopia</i> sp.		Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Simulium Iatigonium	Field pop.	Approx. last instar	None	Mortality	LC50		1 d ¹	Mesocosm water	(Beketov & Liess 2008)

¹This review only considers the methods for the exposure phase (1 d) and not the recovery phase.

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Odonata (Damselfly and Dragonfly)				·					
Austrolestes colensonis	Field pop.	12 th instar	None	Mortality	LC50	Static	2 d	Aerated tap	(Hardersen 1996)
Coenagrionidae	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Reservoir	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 2009)
Cordulia aenea	Field pop.			Mortality	LC50		4 d	Pond	(Beketov 2004)
Erythromma viridulum	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Lestes sponsa	Field pop.			Mortality	LC50		4 d	Pond	(Beketov 2004)
Sympetrum striolatum	Lab reared ²	2 nd instar (< 2 days)	None	Mortality	LC50		1 d ¹	Artificial	(Bekétov & Liess 2008)
Xanthocnemis zealandica	Field pop. or lab reared ²	Various instars		Mortality	LC50		2 d	Aerated tap	(Hardersen & Wratten 2000)
Xanthocnemis zealandica	Field pop.	12 th instar	None	Mortality	LC50	Static	2 d	Aerated tap	(Hardersen 1996)

¹This review only considers the methods for the exposure and not the recovery phase.

²Field collected eggs reared in laboratory cultures

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Hemiptera (Backswimmer)				-					
Anisops sardeus	Field pop.	Adult females		Mortality	LC50	Static	2 d	Well water	(Lahr et al. 2001)
Corixa punctata	Field	Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)
Corixa punctata	Field pop.	Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Reservoir	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 2009)
Notonecta maculate	Field pop.	Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	4 d	Reservoir	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 2009)
Notonecta glauca	Field pop.	Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Sigara striata		Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Megloptera								C	
Sialis lutaria	Field pop.	Larvae	None	Mortality, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Hydracarina (Water mites)								900-0	
Piona carnea	Field pop.	Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)
Coleoptera (Beetle)									
Gyrinus natator	Field pop.	Adult	None	Mortality, immobility	EC50, LC50	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Stephenson 1982)

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Oligochaeta (Worm)									
Dero digitata	Lab culture	Fully grown	None	-	ECx, LCx	Static	2 d	Tap or pond water	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 1998)
Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri	Field pop.			Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Dechlorinated tap	(Chapman et al. 1982)
Lumbriculus variegatus	Lab culture	Similar size		Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Dechlorinated groundwater	(Alexander et al. 2007)
Lumbriculus variegatus	Lab culture	Mixed age		Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Lake water	(Ankley & Collvard 1995)
Stylodrilus heringianus	Field			Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Dechlorinated	(Chapman et al. 1982)
Tubifex tubifex	Field			Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Dechlorinated	(Chapman et al. 1982)
Turbellaria (Flatworms)	6-6-								
Dugesia Iugubris	Field pop.	Half to fully grown	-	-	LCx	Static	2 d	Tap or pond	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 1998)
Polycelis nigra		Adult	None	Mobility behaviour	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Polycelis tenuis		Adult	None	Mobility behaviour	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Hvdrozoa								guaze	
Hydra vulgaris	Lab culture	Non-budding	No	Tentacle and body contraction	EC50	Static	4 d	Dechlorinated tap water	(Pollino & Holdway 1999)
Hydra viridissima	Lab culture	Non-budding	No	Tentacle and body contraction	EC50	Static	4 d	Dechlorinated tap water	(Pollino & Holdway 1999)

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Mollusca (Snails)									
Bithynia tentaculata		(Sub)adult	None	Mortality, immobility, avoidance behaviour ¹	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel guaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Dreissena polymorpha	Field pop.	1.5-2.0 cm	Yes	Filtration rate	EC50	Semi-static	2 d	Lake water	(Kraak et al. 1997)
Dreissena polymorpha	Field pop.	1.6-2.3 cm	No	Mortality (shell closing reflex)	LC50	Recirculating with renewal	4 d	Dechlorinated tap water	(Dauberschmi dt et al. 1996)
Lampsilis siliquoidea ²	Mature glochidia from field pop.	Glochidia	No	Immobility	EC50	Static	2 d	NR	(Bringolf et al. 2007a), (Bringolf et al. 2007b)
Lampsilis siliquoidea ²	Lab reared from glochidia	Juvenile (1-2 months)	No	Immobility	EC50	Semi-static	4 d	NR	(Bringolf et al. 2007a), (Bringolf et al. 2007b)
Lymnaea stagnalis		(Sub)adult	None	Mobility	ECx, LCx	Static	4 d	Pond with stainless steel quaze	(Schroer et al. 2004)
Unio elongatulus eucirrus ³	Field pop.	25-27 g	No	Mortality	LC10- LC90	Semi-static	4 d	Dechlorinated tap water	(Köprücü & Seker 2008)

¹Closing operculum ² based on ASTM test guidelines ³ based on APHA test guidelines

Test species Lumbricidae	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Sediment	Reference
(Worm) Lumbriculus variegatus	Lab culture	Individuals of similar mass and length	Yes	Immobility, feeding inhibition ¹ .	LC50, EC50 (foodstuffs egested)	Static	1 d	Dechlorinated groundwater	Lake sediment (16% OC ²)	(Alexander et al. 2007)
Diptera (True fly) Chironomus tentans Ephemeroptera	Lab culture	3 rd instar	-	Mortality	LC50	Static	4 d	Lake water	Sand	(Ankley & Collyard 1995)
(wayriy) Hexagenia sp.	Field collected eggs			Immobility		Flow-through (recirculating)	<4 d		Yes	ASTM (Fremling & Mauck 1980)

A3.2: Acute toxicity tests in sediment:water test systems

¹This review only considers the methods for the exposure phase (1 d) and not the recovery phase.

²Organc Content.

Test species Ephemeroptera (Mavflv)	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Hexagenia bilineata	Culture pond	3 months	Yes	Mortality, molting, gill beats, growth	LC50	Flow- through, with or without burrows	14 d	Well water	(Henry et al. 1986)
Cloeon triangulifer	Lab culture	Eggs	Yes	Hatch success, larval mortality	EC50, LC50	Static/sem- static	Until hatching	Natural water	(Sweeney et al. 1993)
Cloeon triangulifer Trichoptera (Caddisfly)	Lab culture	1 st instar	Yes	emergence, egg viability, adult residues	EC50, LC50	Static/sem- static	Approx. 43 d	Natural water	(Sweeney et al. 1993)
Brachycentrus americanus	Field pop.	-	Yes	Mortality, behavioural changes, bioaccumulation	LC50, EC50	Intermittent flow-through	28 d	Unfiltered lake water	(Anderson & DeFoe 1980)
Clistoronia magnifica	Field pop.	4 th and 5 th instars	None	Mortality, emergence	LC50, EC50	Flow-through	28 d		(Nebeker et al. 1983)
Hydropsyche sp.	Field pop.	-	-	Mortality, behavioural changes, bioaccumulation	LC50, EC50	Intermittent flow-through	28 d	Unfiltered lake water	(Anderson & DeFoe 1980)
Hydropsyche siltalai	Field pop.	5 th instar	Yes	Net building anomolies	-	Static with renewal	8 d	Artificial with glass slides as substrate	(Wendt-Rasch 1998)
Plecoptera (Stonefly)									
Pteronarcys dorsata	Field pop.	-	Yes	Mortality, behavioural changes, bioaccumulation	LC50, EC50	Intermittent flow-through	28 d	Lake	(Anderson & DeFoe 1980)

A3.3: Chronic toxicity tests in water only test systems

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Diptera									
(True flies)	ا م <i>ا</i>		Vee	Montolity		Elaw through	10 4		(Dhinne at al
tentans	culture		res	Montality	LC50	Flow-through	10 0	water	(Phipps et al. 1995)
Cricotopus spp.	Lab culture	4 th instar	None	Moulting success, adult emergence		Static and Flow-through	7 d		(Nebéker et al. 1983)
Tanytarsus dissimilis	Lab culture	2 nd instar	None	Moulting success		Static	5 d		(Nebeker et al. 1983)
Rotifer									
Brachinus calyciflorus	Lab culture	Neonate females	Yes	Resting egg production	EC50, NOEC, LOEC	Static	4 d	Synthetic	(Preston et al. 2000, Preston & Snell 2001)
Turbellaria (Elatworm)									,
Dugesia	Lab	-	No	Mortality, head lesions,	LC50,	Static	13 d	Aged tap	(Best et al. 1981)
Dugesia dorotocephala	Lab culture	20-25 mg, intact and decanitated	None	Mortality, immobility, morphological	LC50	renewal	7 d		(Villar 1993)
Dugesia Iugubris	Field pop.	Half to fully grown	-	Integrity, immobility	ECx, LCx	Static with renewal	30 d	Tap or pond	(Van Wijngaarden et al. 1998)

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Reference
Mollusca		-	_	-		-			
(Snail)									
Bithynia	Field	(sub)adult	Yes	Immobility, strength	LCx, ECx	Static with	28 d	Tap or	(Van Wijngaarden
tentaculata	pop.					renewal		pond	et al. 1998)
Dreissena	Field	1.5-2.0 cm	Yes	Mortality, filtration rate	EC50	Semi-static	10 weeks	Lake water	(Kraak et al.
polymorpha	pop.	0.0	Nieree		1.050		7 -1		1997) (Nahalian at al
Juga piiciiera	Field	3-0 IIIII shall longth	None	wortanty	LC50	Flow-through	7 u		
Lamosilis	pop. Lab		Ves	Immobility	EC50	Semi-static	21 d	NR	(Bringolf et al
siliauoidea	reared	2 months)	163	IIIIIIODIiity	L030	Semi-static	210	INIX	(Bringon et al. 2007a)
omquorada	from	2 monthoy							20010)
	glochidia								
Lymnaea	Field	Adult	None	egg production,	% change	NR	50 d	Dechlorinat	(Tripathi & Singh
acuminata	pop.			hatching success and	from			ed tap	2004b), (Tripathi
				hatchling survival	control			water	& Singh 2004a)
Lymnaea	Lab	Sexually	Yes	Adult mortality,	NOEC,	Semi-static	84 d	Synthetic	(Czech et al.
stagnalis	culture	mature		fecundity, mean no.	LOEC				2001)
				egg clutches,					
				natchability (in clean					
Physe integra	Field		Vec	Water), Mortality, behavioural		Intermittent		Laka	(Anderson &
i nysa integra	non	-	163	changes	EC50,	flow-through		Lake	(Anderson & DeFoe 1980)
	pop.			bioaccumulation	2000	now through			
Physa sp.	Field	12-20 mm	None	Mortality, growth,	LC50,	Flow-through	21 d		(Nebeker et al.
	pop.	shell length		reproduction	EC50	5			1983)
Planorbis	Field	(sub)adult	Yes	Immobility	LCx, ECx	Static with	28 d	Tap or	(Van Wijngaarden
planoris	pop.					renewal		pond	et al. 1998)
Oligochaeta									
(Worm)				.					
Lumbriculus	Lab		No	Mortality	LC50	Flow-through	10 d	Lake water	(Phipps et al.
variegatus	culture		Nana			Ctatia with		Tan ar	1995) (Ven Wüngeerden
Stylaria lacustris	Lab	Fully grown	ivone	immobility	ECX, LCX	Static with	21 a	ap or	(van wijngaarden
	culture					renewai		pond water	et al. 1990)

A3.3: Chronic toxicity	tests in water onl	y test systems contd.
------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

Test species	Source	Age	Feeding	Measured endpoint	Effect	Test design	Duration	Water	Sediment	Reference
Diptera										
Chironomus	Lab	3 rd and	Not	Immobility, IGR ¹ , body	LC50,	Static	10 d	Artificial	Sieved	(Maul et al.
tentans	culture	4 th instar	reported	condition index, growth	EC50, NOEC,	renewal			soil	2008)
					LOEC					
Chironomus riparius	Lab culture	1 st instar	Yes	Emergence, sex ratio, egg depostion	EC10	Static	28 d	1 st instar	Synthetic	(Bettinetti & Provini 2002)
Chironomus riparius	Lab culture	1 st instar	Yes	Pupation, emergence, emergence accidents, sex ratio	LC50, NOEC, LOEC	Static	24 d	Tapwater	3 mm quartz sand	(Hahn et al. 2001)
Chironomus riparius	Lab culture	4 th instar	Yes	Pupation, emergence, emergence accidents, sex ratio	LC50, NOEC, LOEC	Semi-static	to emergence	Tapwater	3 mm quartz sand	(Hahn et al. 2001)
Chironomus riparius	Lab culture	1 st instar	Yes	Emergence, development, sex ratio, fertility, fecundity	NOEC, LOEC	Static	Full Life Cycle (44 d)	Reconstit uted	Artificial	(Taenzler et al. 2007), (Tassou & Schulz 2009)
Tubifex tubifex	Lab culture	Sexually mature	Yes	Mortality, no. cocoons, no. young worms	EC10	Static	28 d	Mineral water	Artificial	(Bettinetti & Provini 2002)

|--|

¹Instantaneous Growth Rate

Appendix 4: Protocols identified for testing the effects of chemical against invertebrates other than Crustacea

Higher tier Full Life Cycle	test for Chironomus riparius
Reference:	(Taenzler et al. 2007)
Test species	
Species tested:	Chironomus riparius
Source of organisms:	Lab culture
Age of organisms:	1 st instar
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Static
Test duration (days):	Full Life Cycle (44 d)
Endpoints:	Emergence, development, sex ratio, (no. of egg ropes), fertility of
	egg ropes
Effects:	NÕEC, LOEC
No. treatments:	-
Replicates per	-
treatment:	
Organisms per	20
replicate:	
Feeding :	Yes
Aeration or additional	-
substrate:	
Test acceptability	>70% control emergence
criteria:	
Test conditions	-
Test chamber size:	-
Test chamber material:	Glass exposure vessels and breeding cages
Water source:	Reconstituted
Water volume:	380 ml
Water quality	-
measurements:	
Sediment source:	Artificial
Sediment volume:	140 g
Temperature (°C):	20
Illuminance (lux):	500-1000
Photoperiod:	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Two-generation test with	Chrionomus riparius
Peference:	(Tassou & Schulz 2000)
Test species	(185500 & Schulz 2009)
Test species	Chiropomuo riporiuo
Species lested.	
Source of organisms:	Lab culture
Age of organisms:	1 [°] instar
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Static
Test duration (days):	Until F1 emergence
Endpoints:	Development time, no. fully emerged adults, sex ratio, fecundity,
	fertility, F1 emergence ratio, F1 development rate
Effects:	NOEC, LOEC
No. treatments:	6
Replicates per	8
treatment:	
Organisms per	20
replicate:	
Feeding :	Yes
Aeration or additional	Aeration
substrate:	
Test acceptability	>70% control emergence
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	600 ml
Test chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Artificial (M7-medium)
Water volume:	400 ml
Water quality	-
measurements:	
Sediment source:	Artificial
Sediment volume:	100 g
Temperature (°C):	20 ± 2
Illuminance (lux):	-
Photoperiod	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

USEPA Ecological Effects	Test Guidelines: OPPTS 850.1735 Whole sediment acute toxicity
invertebrates, freshwater	
Reference:	(USEPA 2000)
Test species	
Species tested:	Chironomus tentans
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms:	1 d (<24 h)
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Flow-through or intermittent flow, application to sediment
Test duration (days):	50-65 d
Exposure scenario:	-
Endpoints:	20 d survival and weight, emergence, sex ratio, adult mortality, no.
Effects:	-
No. treatments:	_
Replicates per	16
treatment:	
Organisms per	12
replicate:	
Feeding :	Yes
Aeration or additional	Dissolved oxygen maintained at >2.5 mg/L
substrate:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Test acceptability	C. tentans in control at 20 d >0.6 mg/surviving organism dry weight,
criteria:	emergence \geq 50%, mean number eggs/egg case \geq 800, percent
	hatch ≥ 80%
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	300 ml
Test chamber material:	-
Water source:	-
Water volume:	175 ml
Water quality	Hardness, alkalinity, conductivity, ammonia, temperature, dissolved
measurements:	oxygen, pH,
Sediment source:	-
Sediment volume:	100 ml
Temperature (°C):	23 ± 1
Illuminance (lux):	100-1000
Photoperiod:	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Cloeon triangulifer in a ch	ronic water only test system.
Reference:	(Sweeney et al. 1993)
Test species	
Species tested:	Cloeon triangulifer
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms:	1 st instar larvae and F1 eggs
Acclimation time:	Not applicable
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Static or semi-static
Test duration (days):	Until hatching
Endpoints:	Larval survival, time to emergence, adult dry weight, egg hatch
	SUCCESS
Effects:	% effect reported
No. treatments:	7
Replicates per	6
treatment:	st
Organisms per	30 1 st instar, 1000-2000 eggs (hatch success)
replicate:	
Feeding :	Periphyton cultures
Aeration or additional	Aeration, netting over jar to capture emerging adults
substrate:	
Test acceptability	Not reported
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	6.5 cm deep, 5.5 cm tall
l est chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Stream water (filtered for egg exposure)
Water volume:	30 ml
Water quality	Not recorded during test
measurements:	
Sediment source:	None
Sediment volume:	-
Temperature (°C):	20 ± 1
Illuminance (lux):	Fluorescent lights
Photoperiod:	13.5L:10.5D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Chaoborus crystallinus in	a chronic water only test system
Reference:	CRO protocol
Test species	
Species tested:	Chaoborus crystallinus
Source of organisms:	Field collected and In-house stock
Age of organisms:	1 st instar
Acclimation time:	Freshly hatched
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Semi-static
Test duration (days):	30-90 d
Endpoints:	Mortality, growth, moulting, pupation, emergence, reproduction
Effects:	EC50, NOEC
No. treatments:	4
Replicates per	10
treatment:	
Organisms per	1
replicate:	
Feeding :	Yes (Rotifer, Bosmina and juvenile daphnids)
Aeration or additional	No
substrate:	
Test acceptability	Not specified
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	Not specified
Test chamber material:	Not specified
Water source:	Synthetic (M4 Elendt)
Water volume:	-
Water quality	Not specified
measurements:	
Sediment source:	None
Sediment volume:	-
Temperature (°C):	20
Illuminance (lux):	300-500
Photoperiod:	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Lymnaea stagnalis in a ch	ronic water only test system
Reference:	(Czech et al. 2001)
Test species	
Species tested:	Lymnaea stagnalis
Source of organisms:	Lab culture
Age of organisms:	Sexually mature
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	F1 generation maintained under normal culture conditions after hatching
Test design	
Test type:	Semi-static
Test duration (days):	84 d
Endpoints:	Adult mortality, fecundity, mean no. egg clutches, hatchability (in clean water)
Effects:	NOEC, LOÉC
No. treatments:	-
Replicates per	-
treatment:	
Organisms per	15-20
replicate:	
Feeding :	Yes
Aeration or additional	-
substrate:	
Test acceptability	-
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	20 L
Test chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Synthetic
Water volume:	-
Water quality	-
measurements:	
Sediment source:	None
Sediment volume:	-
Temperature (°C):	22
Illuminance (lux):	-
Photoperiod:	16L:8D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

Brachionus calyciflorus ir	a chronic water only test system
Reference:	(Preston et al. 2000, Preston & Snell 2001)
Test species	
Species tested:	Brachionus calyciflorus
Source of organisms:	Laboratory culture
Age of organisms:	Neonate females
Acclimation time:	-
Acclimation conditions:	-
Test design	
Test type:	Static
Test duration (days):	4 d
Endpoints:	Resting egg production
Effects:	EC50, NOEC, LOEC
No. treatments:	-
Replicates per	5
treatment:	
Organisms per	6
replicate:	
Feeding :	Yes
Aeration or additional	No
substrate:	
Test acceptability	Not reported
criteria:	
Test conditions	
Test chamber size:	16x150 mm
Test chamber material:	Glass
Water source:	Synthetic
Water volume:	12 ml
Water quality	Not recorded
measurements:	
Sediment source:	None
Sediment volume:	-
Temperature (°C):	25
Illuminance (lux):	Darkness
Photoperiod:	0L:24D

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

μg	Microgram
APHA	American Public Health Association
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CRO	Contract Research Organisation
CSTEE	Comité Scientifique de Toxicologie, Ecotoxicologie et l'Environnement (European Scientific Committee on Toxicity, Ecotoxicity and Environment)
EC50	Effective concentration for 50% effect
ECx	Effect concentration for x%
EDC	Endocrine Disrupting Chemical
EEC	European Economic Community
IC50	Inhibition Concentration for 50% effect
L	Litre
LC50	Lethal concentration for 50% effect
LOEC	Lowest Observed Effect Concentration
LT50	Lethal time for 50% effect
MATC	Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration
NOEC	No Observed Effect Concentration
NR	Not Reported
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OPPTS	Office of Prevention, Pesticides & Toxic Substances
PPR	Plant Protection Products and their Residues
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

Appendix 5: Abbreviations

[&]quot;The present document has been produced and adopted by the bodies identified above as author(s). This task has been carried out exclusively by the author(s) in the context of a contract between the European Food Safety Authority and the author(s), awarded following a tender procedure. The present document is published complying with the transparency principle to which the European Food Safety Authority is subject. It may not be considered as an output adopted by EFSA. EFSA reserves its rights, view and position as regards the issues addressed and the conclusions reached in the present document, without prejudice to the rights of the authors."

IME

Appendix 5: Acknowledgements







gaiac



RNTHAACHEN UNIVERSITY

